Illinois Tool Works, Inc. v. Commissioner, 117 T. C. 39 (U. S. Tax Ct. 2001)

In a significant ruling on corporate tax deductions, the U. S. Tax Court held that Illinois Tool Works must capitalize the costs of a patent infringement lawsuit assumed in an asset acquisition, rejecting the company's claim for a business expense deduction. This decision reinforces the principle that payments for assumed liabilities in acquisitions are capital expenditures, impacting how companies account for such liabilities in future tax filings and emphasizing the importance of due diligence in assessing potential legal liabilities during corporate transactions.

Parties

Plaintiff/Appellant: Illinois Tool Works, Inc. (referred to as "petitioner" throughout the litigation). Defendant/Appellee: Commissioner of Internal Revenue (referred to as "respondent" throughout the litigation).

Facts

In 1990, Illinois Tool Works, Inc. (ITW) acquired certain assets from DeVilbiss Co. , which included the assumption of a contingent liability related to a patent infringement lawsuit filed by Jerome H. Lemelson against DeVilbiss. The lawsuit, known as the Lemelson lawsuit, claimed infringement of the '431 patent related to industrial robots. At the time of acquisition, DeVilbiss had set a reserve of $400,000 for the lawsuit, which was later adjusted to $350,000. ITW conducted due diligence, assessed the lawsuit's impact on the purchase price, and concluded the likelihood of significant liability was low. Despite this, ITW assumed the liability as part of the acquisition. In 1991, a jury found willful infringement by DeVilbiss, resulting in a judgment of $17,067,339, of which $6,956,590 was contested by ITW for tax treatment. ITW argued this payment should be deducted as a business expense, while the Commissioner contended it should be capitalized as a cost of acquisition.

Procedural History

ITW filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the Commissioner's determination of tax deficiencies for 1992 and 1993, seeking to deduct $6,956,590 of the Lemelson lawsuit payment as a business expense. The Tax Court considered the case after concessions by both parties, applying a de novo standard of review to the legal issues presented.

Issue(s)

Whether the $6,956,590 payment made by ITW in satisfaction of the Lemelson lawsuit judgment, assumed as a contingent liability in the acquisition of DeVilbiss assets, should be capitalized as a cost of acquisition or deducted as an ordinary and necessary business expense under Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Rule(s) of Law

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code allows a deduction for ordinary and necessary expenses incurred in carrying on a trade or business. However, Section 263(a)(1) disallows deductions for capital expenditures, which include the cost of acquiring property. The payment of a liability of a preceding owner, whether fixed or contingent at the time of acquisition, is not an ordinary and necessary business expense but must be capitalized. This principle is well established in cases such as David R. Webb Co. v. Commissioner, 77 T. C. 1134 (1981), aff'd, 708 F. 2d 1254 (7th Cir. 1983).

Holding

The Tax Court held that the $6,956,590 payment made by ITW in satisfaction of the Lemelson lawsuit judgment must be capitalized as a cost of acquisition, not deducted as an ordinary and necessary business expense, consistent with the rule that payments for assumed liabilities in acquisitions are capital expenditures.

Reasoning

The court reasoned that ITW's payment was not an ordinary and necessary business expense under Section 162(a) but rather a capital expenditure that should be added to the cost basis of the acquired DeVilbiss assets. The court relied on the precedent set in David R. Webb Co. , where the payment of a contingent liability assumed in an acquisition was required to be capitalized, regardless of its tax character to the prior owner. The court noted that ITW was aware of the Lemelson lawsuit at the time of acquisition, and the liability was expressly assumed in the purchase agreement. The court dismissed ITW's arguments that the payment should be treated as a deductible expense because it was unexpected or speculative, emphasizing that the character of the payment as a capital expenditure was determined by the nature of the acquisition and the assumption of the liability. The court also considered and rejected ITW's reliance on Nahey v. Commissioner, finding it inapplicable to the issue of capitalization of assumed liabilities. The court's decision underscores the importance of accounting for assumed liabilities in corporate acquisitions and the tax implications thereof.

Disposition

The Tax Court directed that a decision be entered under Rule 155, reflecting the court's holding that the contested payment must be capitalized, consistent with the parties' concessions and the court's findings.

Significance/Impact

This case is significant for its reaffirmation of the principle that payments for liabilities assumed in corporate acquisitions must be capitalized, impacting corporate tax planning and due diligence in acquisitions. It serves as a reminder to companies to carefully assess and account for potential liabilities in acquisition

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

agreements, as such liabilities can have significant tax implications. The decision has been cited in subsequent cases and tax literature, reinforcing its doctrinal importance in the area of corporate tax law and the treatment of contingent liabilities in asset acquisitions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.