Smalley v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 116 T. C. 450 (U. S. Tax Ct. 2001)

The U. S. Tax Court ruled that D. G. Smalley's deferred exchange of timber rights for land did not trigger immediate income recognition in 1994. The court found Smalley had a bona fide intent to complete a like-kind exchange under Section 1031, despite uncertainties about the like-kind nature of the properties exchanged. This decision clarifies the application of the bona fide intent test for deferred exchanges, allowing taxpayers to defer gain recognition if they reasonably believe the exchange will qualify, even if the ultimate outcome is uncertain.

Parties

D. G. Smalley and Nell R. Smalley (Petitioners) v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Respondent)

Facts

In 1994, D. G. Smalley owned 275 acres of timberland in Laurens County, Georgia. Seeking to continue his timber investment, he entered into an agreement with Rayonier, Inc. , on November 29, 1994. Under this agreement, Smalley granted Rayonier a 2-year exclusive right to cut and remove mature timber on 95 acres of his land in exchange for $517,076. The funds from this transaction were placed into a qualified escrow account, as defined in sec. 1. 1031(k)-1(g)(3), Income Tax Regs. Smalley intended to use these funds to acquire replacement properties within 180 days, which he identified and acquired in 1995, consisting of fee simple interests in three parcels of land within 30 miles of the original 95 acres, all containing standing timber.

On their 1994 tax return, the Smalleys treated the transaction as a like-kind exchange under Section 1031, deferring recognition of the $496,076 gain until 1995. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency, arguing that the gain should be recognized in 1994 because the transaction did not meet Section 1031 requirements.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a $139,180 deficiency in the Smalleys' 1994 federal income tax. The Smalleys filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court, contesting the deficiency. The Tax Court reviewed the case de novo, considering the arguments and evidence presented by both parties. The court ultimately ruled in favor of the Smalleys, holding that they were not required to recognize income from the deferred exchange in 1994.

Issue(s)

Whether, under Section 1031 and the applicable regulations, the Smalleys were

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

required to recognize income in 1994 from a deferred exchange of timber rights for land, given that the replacement properties were identified and acquired in 1995?

Rule(s) of Law

Section 1031 of the Internal Revenue Code allows for nonrecognition of gain or loss on the exchange of property held for productive use in a trade or business or for investment, if the property is exchanged solely for like-kind property. The applicable regulations, specifically sec. 1. 1031(k)-1(j)(2), Income Tax Regs. , provide that if the taxpayer has a bona fide intent to enter into a deferred exchange at the beginning of the exchange period, the taxpayer is not in actual or constructive receipt of property for purposes of Section 453 (installment sale rules) if the funds are held in a qualified escrow account. The bona fide intent requirement is met if it is reasonable to believe, based on all the facts and circumstances at the beginning of the exchange period, that like-kind replacement property will be acquired before the end of the exchange period.

Holding

The Tax Court held that the Smalleys were not required to recognize income from the deferred exchange in 1994. The court found that Smalley had a bona fide intent to enter into a like-kind exchange at the beginning of the exchange period, despite the uncertainty about whether the properties exchanged were of like kind under Section 1031. This intent was evidenced by the use of a qualified escrow account, the timely identification and acquisition of replacement properties, and Smalley's reliance on expert advice.

Reasoning

The court's reasoning focused on the application of the bona fide intent test under sec. 1. 1031(k)-1(j)(2)(iv), Income Tax Regs. The court noted that the regulation requires only a reasonable belief that like-kind property will be acquired within the exchange period, not a guarantee that the properties will ultimately be deemed like kind. The court found that Smalley's belief was reasonable based on several factors:

1. The transaction was conditioned on qualifying as a tax-free exchange under Section 1031.

2. A qualified escrow account was used, meeting the requirements of sec. 1. 1031(k)-1(g)(3), Income Tax Regs.

3. Smalley identified and acquired the replacement properties within the required time frames under Section 1031(a)(3).

4. Smalley testified credibly about his intent to have a like-kind exchange.

5. Smalley relied on advice from a well-known timber taxation expert and his long-

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

time accountant.

The court also considered the Commissioner's argument that the properties were not of like kind, but found it unnecessary to resolve this issue definitively. Instead, the court focused on Smalley's reasonable belief that the transaction would qualify as a like-kind exchange, noting that the Commissioner had not assessed any negligence or accuracy-related penalties, suggesting that the Smalleys had acted in good faith.

The court distinguished this case from previous cases like Oregon Lumber Co. v. Commissioner, where the focus was on the like-kind nature of the properties exchanged. Here, the court emphasized the taxpayer's intent and the use of the safe harbor provisions in the regulations to avoid immediate gain recognition under Section 453.

Disposition

The Tax Court entered a decision under Rule 155, holding that the Smalleys were not required to recognize income from the deferred exchange in 1994.

Significance/Impact

This decision is significant for clarifying the application of the bona fide intent test under Section 1031 and its regulations. It provides taxpayers with greater certainty in structuring deferred exchanges, allowing them to defer gain recognition if they have a reasonable belief that the exchange will qualify, even if there are uncertainties about the like-kind nature of the properties involved. The case also highlights the importance of using qualified intermediaries and escrow accounts to meet the safe harbor provisions of the regulations, which can protect taxpayers from immediate gain recognition under the installment sale rules of Section 453. The decision may encourage more taxpayers to engage in deferred exchanges, knowing that their good faith efforts to comply with Section 1031 will be respected by the courts.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.