

Corkrey v. Commissioner, 110 T. C. 267 (1998)

A taxpayer is not entitled to recover administrative costs under Section 7430 if the costs are associated with preparing or correcting tax returns and the taxpayer failed to file timely returns or provide necessary information to the IRS.

Summary

In *Corkrey v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court ruled that a taxpayer, Raymond Corkrey, could not recover administrative costs under Section 7430 for expenses related to preparing and correcting his 1987 and 1988 tax returns. Corkrey failed to file timely returns despite earning income above the filing threshold. The IRS used substitute for return procedures and assessed taxes based on third-party information, which included an error in reported income. Corkrey only filed his returns after several years, triggered by a need to clear tax liens for a mortgage. The court held that the IRS's position was substantially justified because Corkrey did not timely file or provide necessary information, and the costs incurred were for fulfilling basic taxpayer obligations, not for resolving disputes with the IRS.

Facts

Raymond Corkrey failed to file timely tax returns for 1987 and 1988 despite earning income above the filing threshold. The IRS received wage information from third parties, including an erroneous report from a school indicating \$35,100 in wages instead of the actual \$351. After multiple unsuccessful attempts to get Corkrey to file returns, the IRS used substitute for return procedures and assessed taxes based on the available information. Corkrey only filed his returns in 1997, after his accountant pointed out the wage error, motivated by the need to clear tax liens to qualify for a mortgage. The IRS processed the returns, made necessary adjustments, and issued refunds. Corkrey then sought to recover administrative costs for his accountant and attorney's efforts in preparing and correcting his returns.

Procedural History

The IRS denied Corkrey's claim for administrative costs. Corkrey petitioned the Tax Court for recovery of these costs under Section 7430. The Tax Court reviewed the case and ultimately ruled in favor of the Commissioner, denying Corkrey's claim for administrative costs.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a taxpayer is entitled to recover administrative costs under Section 7430 for expenses incurred in preparing and correcting tax returns when the taxpayer failed to file timely returns and did not provide necessary information to the IRS.

Holding

1. No, because the costs incurred by Corkrey were associated with preparing and correcting his tax returns, which are basic taxpayer obligations, and he failed to file timely returns or provide necessary information to the IRS, thus the IRS's position was substantially justified.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied Section 7430, which allows recovery of administrative costs if the taxpayer is the prevailing party, did not unreasonably protract the proceedings, and the costs are reasonable. However, the court found that Corkrey's costs were for preparing and correcting his returns, which are basic taxpayer obligations, not for resolving disputes with the IRS. The court emphasized that the IRS was substantially justified in its actions because Corkrey failed to file timely returns and did not provide necessary information until years later. The court distinguished this case from others where taxpayers had filed timely returns or corresponded with the IRS, citing cases like *Cole v. Commissioner* and *Portillo v. Commissioner*. The court noted that had Corkrey filed timely or responded promptly to IRS notices, the matter could have been resolved without issuing statutory notices. The court also referenced Treasury Regulations, which support the IRS's reliance on third-party information when a taxpayer fails to file a return.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that taxpayers cannot recover administrative costs under Section 7430 for expenses related to fulfilling basic taxpayer obligations, such as preparing and correcting tax returns, especially when they have failed to file timely returns or provide necessary information to the IRS. Legal practitioners should advise clients to file returns promptly and respond to IRS inquiries to avoid similar outcomes. The ruling underscores the importance of timely compliance with tax filing requirements and the limited scope of recoverable costs under Section 7430. Businesses and individuals should be aware that the IRS is justified in relying on available information when taxpayers do not fulfill their obligations, which may impact their ability to recover costs in disputes with the IRS. Subsequent cases have applied this principle, reinforcing the need for taxpayers to engage proactively with the IRS to resolve issues before seeking cost recovery.