

Estate of Forgey v. Commissioner, 117 T. C. 169 (2001)

The Tax Court lacks jurisdiction over an assessed addition to tax for late filing when there is no statutory deficiency in the tax imposed.

Summary

In *Estate of Forgey*, the estate filed a delinquent estate tax return and faced an addition to tax for late filing. After the IRS determined a deficiency and subsequent settlement, the estate sought Tax Court review of the assessed addition to tax. The court held it lacked jurisdiction because the settlement resulted in an overassessment, not a deficiency. This ruling hinges on the statutory definition of a deficiency, which was not met here due to the absence of an excess tax imposed over the amount shown on the return. The practical implication is that Tax Court jurisdiction is limited to cases involving a statutory deficiency, affecting how estates and practitioners approach disputes over additions to tax.

Facts

Glenn G. Forgey died on October 14, 1993, and his son, Lyle A. Forgey, was appointed personal representative of the estate. The estate tax return was due by July 14, 1994, but was extended to January 14, 1995. The return was filed late on June 2, 1995, reporting an estate tax liability of \$2,165,565. The IRS assessed this tax and an addition to tax for late filing of \$378,802. Later, the IRS determined a deficiency of \$866,434, leading to an additional addition to tax of \$216,609. After negotiations, the parties agreed on all issues except the assessed addition to tax, resulting in an overassessment due to an allowed interest expense deduction.

Procedural History

The IRS assessed the estate tax and the initial addition to tax for late filing. Subsequently, a notice of deficiency was issued, and after settlement, the estate sought Tax Court review of the assessed addition to tax. The Tax Court considered whether it had jurisdiction over this addition, ultimately ruling it did not due to the absence of a statutory deficiency.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction to review any portion of the assessed addition to tax for late filing under section 6651(a)(1).
2. If the court has jurisdiction, whether the estate is liable for the assessed addition to tax.

Holding

1. No, because the Tax Court lacks jurisdiction over the assessed addition to tax when there is no statutory deficiency in the tax imposed.

2. This issue was not reached due to the court's lack of jurisdiction.

Court's Reasoning

The court's decision hinged on the statutory definition of a deficiency under section 6211, which requires the tax imposed to exceed the amount shown on the return. In this case, the settlement resulted in an overassessment, not a deficiency, due to the interest expense deduction. The court emphasized that its jurisdiction is limited to cases involving a statutory deficiency, citing section 6665(b) which excludes additions to tax under section 6651 from deficiency procedures unless attributable to a deficiency. The estate's argument that a deficiency existed but for the interest expense deduction was rejected as it ignored the statutory definition. The court also noted that its lack of jurisdiction was specific to this case and did not preclude jurisdiction in cases of overpayment under different circumstances.

Practical Implications

This ruling clarifies that Tax Court jurisdiction over additions to tax for late filing under section 6651(a)(1) is contingent on the existence of a statutory deficiency. Practitioners must carefully consider whether a true deficiency exists before seeking Tax Court review of assessed additions to tax. The decision also underscores the importance of understanding the interplay between deductions and the calculation of deficiencies. Estates facing similar situations should be cautious about relying on potential deductions to challenge assessed additions to tax, as these may not create a deficiency sufficient for Tax Court jurisdiction. This case has been cited in subsequent decisions to affirm the limits of Tax Court jurisdiction over assessed additions to tax.