Cook v. Commissioner, 115 T. C. 15 (2000)

Retained interests in a Grantor Retained Annuity Trust (GRAT) must be valued as single-life annuities when contingent spousal interests are involved.

Summary

In Cook v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court addressed the valuation of retained interests in Grantor Retained Annuity Trusts (GRATs) established by William and Gayle Cook. The court ruled that the retained interests should be valued as single-life annuities rather than dual-life annuities, due to the contingent nature of the spousal interests and the potential for the retained interests to extend beyond the grantor's life. The Cooks had created GRATs with provisions for annuity payments to continue to their spouses if they died during the trust term. The IRS argued for single-life valuation, which would result in a larger taxable gift of the remainder interest. The court agreed with the IRS, emphasizing that only interests fixed and ascertainable at the trust's inception can reduce the value of the gift of the remainder.

Facts

William A. Cook and Gayle T. Cook each established two GRATs in 1993 and 1995, transferring shares of Cook Group, Inc. to these trusts. The trusts provided for annuity payments to the grantors for a fixed term or their earlier death. If a grantor died during the term, the annuity would continue to the surviving spouse until the end of the term or the spouse's earlier death. The Cooks retained the right to revoke the spousal interest. They valued the retained interests as dual-life annuities, reducing the taxable gift of the remainder interest. The IRS challenged this valuation, asserting that the retained interests should be valued as single-life annuities.

Procedural History

The Cooks filed Federal gift tax returns for 1993 and 1995, reporting the transfers to the GRATs based on dual-life annuity valuations. The IRS issued notices of deficiency, asserting deficiencies in gift taxes due to the use of single-life annuity valuations. Both parties filed motions for partial summary judgment with the U. S. Tax Court, which the court decided based on the legal issues presented without factual disputes.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the retained interests in the GRATs should be valued as single-life annuities or dual-life annuities.
2. Whether the contingent spousal interests in the GRATs are qualified interests under section 2702 of the Internal Revenue Code.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. Yes, because the retained interests should be valued as single-life annuities because the spousal interests are contingent and not fixed and ascertainable at the trust's inception.
2. No, because the contingent spousal interests in the GRATs are not qualified interests under section 2702 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied section 2702 of the Internal Revenue Code, which provides special valuation rules for transfers to family members in trust. The court determined that only interests fixed and ascertainable at the trust's creation can reduce the value of the gift of the remainder. The spousal interests in the Cooks' GRATs were contingent upon the spouse surviving the grantor, thus not fixed at inception. Additionally, the retained interests could extend beyond the grantor's life due to the spousal interests, which is not permissible under section 25. 2702-3(d)(3) of the Gift Tax Regulations. The court cited examples from the regulations to illustrate that only interests fixed at the trust's creation can be considered qualified, and emphasized Congress's intent to prevent valuation abuses by ensuring accurate valuation of gifts.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how GRATs with contingent spousal interests are valued for gift tax purposes. Attorneys and estate planners must ensure that retained interests in GRATs are fixed and ascertainable at the trust's creation to qualify for favorable valuation under section 2702. The ruling underscores the importance of precise drafting in estate planning to avoid unintended tax consequences. It also affects how similar cases are analyzed, requiring valuation as single-life annuities when contingent interests are involved. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the principle that only fixed interests can reduce the taxable value of gifts in trust.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.