

Butler v. Commissioner, 114 T. C. 276 (2000)

The Tax Court has jurisdiction to review the IRS's denial of equitable innocent spouse relief under section 6015(f), and a spouse must demonstrate a lack of knowledge and reason to know about tax understatement to qualify for relief under section 6015(b).

Summary

In *Butler v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court addressed the requirements for innocent spouse relief under sections 6015(b) and (f) of the Internal Revenue Code. Jean Butler sought relief from joint tax liability for 1992, arguing she was unaware of her husband's failure to report income from a settlement. The court denied relief under section 6015(b) because Jean had reason to know of the understatement due to her involvement in family finances and knowledge of the settlement. Additionally, the court affirmed its jurisdiction to review the IRS's denial of equitable relief under section 6015(f), concluding the denial was not an abuse of discretion given the circumstances.

Facts

Jean and Michael Butler filed a joint federal income tax return for 1992. Michael, a surgeon, and Jean, a medical transcriber and owner of JCB Construction, Inc. , lived a comfortable lifestyle. Michael was a 50% shareholder in B. G. Enterprises, Inc. (BGE), which received a settlement from Dupont in 1992. The settlement proceeds were not reported on the Butlers' 1992 tax return. Jean was aware of the settlement negotiations and had significant involvement in the family's financial affairs, including maintaining the family checkbook and handling household bills.

Procedural History

The IRS determined a deficiency in the Butlers' 1992 tax return and denied Jean's request for innocent spouse relief under section 6015. Jean petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency and sought relief under sections 6015(b) and (f). The court denied relief under section 6015(b) and held that it had jurisdiction to review the IRS's denial of equitable relief under section 6015(f), ultimately concluding that the denial was not an abuse of discretion.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Jean Butler is entitled to innocent spouse relief under section 6015(b) for the understatement of tax on the 1992 joint federal income tax return?
2. Whether the Tax Court should reopen the record to receive additional evidence regarding Jean's ability to qualify for proportionate innocent spouse relief under section 6015(b)(2)?
3. Whether the Tax Court has jurisdiction to review for abuse of discretion the IRS's denial of Jean's request for equitable innocent spouse relief under section 6015(f),

and if so, whether the denial was an abuse of discretion?

Holding

1. No, because Jean had reason to know of the understatement due to her involvement in the family's financial affairs and knowledge of the settlement.
2. No, because Jean failed to describe the evidence she would offer and explain how it would support her claim for proportionate relief.
3. Yes, the Tax Court has jurisdiction to review the IRS's denial of equitable relief under section 6015(f), and no, the denial was not an abuse of discretion given the circumstances.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the legal standard for innocent spouse relief under section 6015(b), which requires the spouse to demonstrate a lack of knowledge and reason to know about the understatement. The court considered Jean's education, involvement in family finances, and knowledge of the Dupont settlement as factors indicating she should have inquired about the tax implications of the settlement proceeds. The court also held that it had jurisdiction to review the IRS's denial of equitable relief under section 6015(f), rejecting the IRS's argument that such determinations were committed solely to agency discretion. The court found no abuse of discretion in the denial of equitable relief, given Jean's involvement in family finances and lack of economic hardship if relief were denied.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies the standards for innocent spouse relief under section 6015(b), emphasizing the importance of a spouse's knowledge and involvement in family finances. It also establishes that the Tax Court has jurisdiction to review the IRS's denial of equitable relief under section 6015(f), providing a pathway for judicial oversight of such decisions. Practitioners should advise clients seeking innocent spouse relief to thoroughly document their lack of knowledge and involvement in financial matters. The case also highlights the need for taxpayers to provide comprehensive evidence when seeking to reopen the record in Tax Court proceedings.