Armstrong v. Commissioner, 114 T. C. 94 (2000)

Transferees are personally liable for unpaid estate taxes on gifts made by the decedent within three years of death, even if the gifts themselves did not directly cause the tax deficiency.

Summary

Frank Armstrong, Jr. transferred significant assets to his family within three years of his death, leaving him nearly insolvent after paying gift taxes. The IRS determined an estate tax deficiency due to the estate's failure to include these gift taxes in the gross estate under IRC § 2035(c). The court held that the transferees were personally liable for the estate tax deficiency under IRC § 6324(a)(2) because the transferred assets were treated as part of the gross estate for lien purposes under IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C). This ruling emphasizes the broad scope of transferee liability and the IRS's ability to collect estate taxes even when a decedent's estate is rendered insolvent by pre-death gifts.

Facts

Frank Armstrong, Jr. transferred a substantial amount of stock in National Fruit Product Co. , Inc. to his children and grandchildren between 1991 and 1992. After paying $4,680,283 in Federal gift taxes, Armstrong was nearly insolvent. He died on July 29, 1993, within three years of the transfers. The IRS determined an estate tax deficiency of $2,350,071, attributing it to the estate's failure to include the paid gift taxes in the gross estate as required by IRC § 2035(c). The IRS then issued notices of transferee liability to the recipients of the stock, asserting each was liable for $1,968,213 based on the value of the stock they received.

Procedural History

The Armstrong estate filed a timely petition for redetermination of the estate tax deficiency. The transferees, in turn, filed timely petitions contesting the notices of transferee liability. The transferees moved for partial summary judgment, arguing they were not liable as transferees as a matter of law. The Tax Court denied these motions, holding that the transferees were indeed liable under IRC § 6324(a)(2).

Issue(s)

1. Whether the transferees are personally liable for the estate tax deficiency under IRC § 6324(a)(2) when the deficiency results from the estate's failure to include gift taxes in the gross estate under IRC § 2035(c)?

2. Whether IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C) applies to include the value of the stock transfers in the gross estate for purposes of determining transferee liability under IRC § 6324(a)(2)?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. Yes, because IRC § 6324(a)(2) imposes personal liability on transferees for unpaid estate taxes to the extent of the value of property included in the gross estate under IRC §§ 2034 to 2042, which is treated as satisfied by IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C).

2. Yes, because IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C) treats the value of gifts made within three years of death as included in the gross estate for purposes of subchapter C of chapter 64, which includes IRC § 6324(a)(2).

Court's Reasoning

The court's decision hinged on the interpretation of IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C), which states that gifts made within three years of death are included in the gross estate for purposes of subchapter C of chapter 64, including IRC § 6324(a)(2). The court rejected the transferees' argument that the parenthetical language in IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C) limited its application to traditional lien provisions. The court clarified that IRC § 6324(a)(2) is a lien provision, as it provides for a lien on a transferee's separate property if the transferee further transfers the received property. The court also noted that the legislative history did not support the transferees' narrow interpretation of the statute. The court emphasized that the purpose of IRC § 2035(d)(3)(C) is to enhance the IRS's ability to collect estate taxes when a decedent has transferred away most of their assets shortly before death, leaving the estate insolvent.

Practical Implications

This decision expands the scope of transferee liability, making it clear that recipients of gifts made within three years of a decedent's death may be held personally liable for estate tax deficiencies, even if the gifts themselves did not directly cause the deficiency. Attorneys should advise clients that such transfers can expose them to estate tax liabilities beyond the value of the gifts received. Estate planning professionals must consider the potential for transferee liability when structuring gifts, especially for clients with significant estates. This ruling may deter individuals from making large gifts shortly before death to avoid estate taxes, as it increases the risk that the IRS will pursue transferees for unpaid estate taxes. Subsequent cases have applied this principle to similar situations, reinforcing the IRS's ability to collect estate taxes from transferees in cases of estate insolvency due to pre-death gifts.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.