

Southern Multi-Media Commun. , Inc. v. Commissioner, 113 T. C. 412, 1999 U. S. Tax Ct. LEXIS 54, 113 T. C. No. 27 (1999)

Costs of improvements to cable television systems do not qualify for investment tax credit under the supply or service transition rule if not specifically required by contracts in place by December 31, 1985.

Summary

Southern Multi-Media Communications, Inc. , a cable television company, sought investment tax credits (ITC) for costs associated with rebuilding and extending its cable systems. The Tax Court held that these costs did not qualify under the supply or service transition rule of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 because the company's franchise agreements did not specifically require these improvements as of December 31, 1985. The court emphasized that for ITC eligibility, improvements must be essential to fulfill contracts in place before the cutoff date. This ruling clarifies the stringent requirements for claiming ITC under transition rules, impacting how cable companies and similar businesses assess their tax credit eligibility for infrastructure improvements.

Facts

Southern Multi-Media Communications, Inc. , operating as Wometco, rebuilt six cable television systems in Atlanta suburbs from 1989 to 1991, increasing their channel capacity to 62 channels. Additionally, Wometco extended cable lines to serve more customers in 1990. These improvements cost approximately \$22 million for rebuilds and \$6 million for line extensions. Wometco operated under various franchise agreements with local governments, which required a minimum of 20 channels but did not specify the rebuilds or line extensions undertaken. Wometco claimed ITC for these costs under the supply or service transition rule of the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

Procedural History

Wometco filed consolidated U. S. Corporation income tax returns for 1990 through 1993, claiming ITC for the rebuilds and line extensions. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed these credits during an audit. Wometco then petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, which heard the case and issued its opinion on December 8, 1999.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the costs of certain improvements to Wometco's cable television systems qualify for investment tax credit under the supply or service transition rule of section 204(a)(3) of the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

Holding

1. No, because the rebuilds and line extensions were not necessary to carry out Wometco's franchise agreements that were in place as of December 31, 1985.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court interpreted the supply or service transition rule strictly, focusing on the requirement that the property must be "necessary to carry out" a written contract binding on December 31, 1985. Wometco's franchise agreements contained general language about maintaining systems to industry standards but did not specifically mandate the rebuilds or line extensions. The court found that these improvements were not indispensable to fulfilling the franchise agreements as of the cutoff date. The court distinguished this case from others where specific contractual commitments were evident, reinforcing that general obligations to maintain standards are insufficient for ITC eligibility under the transition rule. The court also considered legislative history but found it did not support a broader interpretation that would include improvements not specifically required by contract.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of clear contractual obligations for claiming ITC under transition rules. Cable television companies and similar businesses must ensure that any improvements they undertake are explicitly required by contracts in place before the relevant cutoff dates to qualify for tax credits. The ruling impacts how companies structure their contracts and plan infrastructure upgrades, potentially affecting their financial strategies. Subsequent cases may further refine the application of this rule, but for now, businesses should carefully review their contracts to assess ITC eligibility.