

## ***Exxon Corp. v. Commissioner, 113 T. C. 338 (1999)***

The Petroleum Revenue Tax (PRT) paid to the United Kingdom qualifies as a creditable foreign income tax under U. S. tax law.

### **Summary**

Exxon Corp. sought to claim a foreign tax credit for the Petroleum Revenue Tax (PRT) it paid to the United Kingdom on its North Sea oil operations from 1983 to 1988. The U. S. Tax Court ruled that the PRT constituted a creditable tax under Section 901 of the Internal Revenue Code. The court found that the PRT was not a payment for specific economic benefits related to Exxon's North Sea licenses but rather a tax on excess profits from oil production. The PRT's structure, which included allowances compensating for non-deductible expenses like interest, satisfied the U. S. net income requirement for a creditable foreign tax.

### **Facts**

Exxon Corporation and its affiliates operated in the North Sea under licenses granted by the United Kingdom. In 1975, the U. K. imposed the Petroleum Revenue Tax (PRT) on oil and gas profits from the North Sea, alongside the Ring Fence Tax, to capture a larger share of the increased profits resulting from rising oil prices. Exxon paid approximately GBP 3.5 billion in PRT from 1975 to 1988. The PRT did not modify Exxon's existing license terms and was imposed unilaterally by the U. K. as a compulsory payment. The tax base for PRT included gross income from North Sea oil and gas activities, with deductions for most costs except interest. Special allowances, such as uplift, oil allowance, and safeguard, were provided to offset non-deductible expenses.

### **Procedural History**

Exxon filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's disallowance of a foreign tax credit for the PRT it paid to the U. K. from 1983 to 1988. The IRS argued that the PRT was not a creditable tax under Section 901 of the Internal Revenue Code because it was a payment for specific economic benefits related to Exxon's North Sea licenses. The Tax Court heard extensive testimony and reviewed industry data before rendering its decision.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the Petroleum Revenue Tax (PRT) paid by Exxon to the United Kingdom constitutes a creditable foreign income tax under Section 901 of the Internal Revenue Code?
2. Whether the PRT's predominant character satisfies the net income requirement for a creditable foreign tax?

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the PRT was not paid in exchange for specific economic benefits but was imposed as a compulsory tax on excess profits from North Sea oil production.
2. Yes, because the PRT's structure, including special allowances like uplift, effectively compensated for non-deductible expenses, satisfying the net income requirement.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the regulations under Section 901 to determine if the PRT constituted a creditable foreign income tax. It found that the PRT was not payment for specific economic benefits because it did not grant Exxon additional rights under its North Sea licenses. The PRT was imposed unilaterally by the U. K. as a compulsory payment to capture excess profits from rising oil prices, not as a condition of Exxon's licenses. The court also analyzed the PRT's structure, noting that it allowed deductions for most costs and provided special allowances to offset non-deductible interest expense. These allowances, particularly uplift, were found to effectively compensate for non-deductible expenses, satisfying the net income requirement. The court relied on industry data showing that allowances generally exceeded non-deductible expenses for companies paying PRT. The decision was supported by the court's prior ruling in *Phillips Petroleum Co. v. Commissioner*, where a similar Norwegian tax was found creditable.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that taxes like the PRT, imposed on excess profits from natural resource extraction, can qualify for foreign tax credits under U. S. law if they do not represent payments for specific economic benefits. It guides multinational corporations in analyzing the creditable nature of foreign taxes based on their structure and purpose. The ruling may affect how other countries design taxes on resource extraction to ensure they qualify for U. S. foreign tax credits. Subsequent cases, such as *Texasgulf, Inc. & Subs. v. Commissioner*, have built on this decision, using empirical data to assess the net income requirement for foreign taxes. This case underscores the importance of analyzing foreign tax laws holistically, considering their impact across the industry, not just on individual taxpayers.