CMI International, Inc. v. Commissioner, 103 T. C. 20 (1994)

No gain is recognized in a debt-equity swap transaction if the property transferred is not appreciated.

Summary

In CMI International, Inc. v. Commissioner, the Tax Court held that no gain was recognized by the petitioner on a debt-equity swap transaction with a Mexican subsidiary. The case involved a U. S. corporation, CMI International, Inc. , participating in a Mexican debt-equity swap program to finance a plant in Mexico. The court found that the transaction did not involve the transfer of appreciated property, thus applying the gain limitation under section 367(a) and temporary regulations, resulting in zero recognized gain. This decision underscores the importance of the nature of the property transferred in determining tax consequences of international transactions.

Facts

CMI International, Inc. , a Michigan corporation, participated in a Mexican debt-equity swap program to finance the construction of a plant in Nuevo Laredo, Mexico. The swap involved CMI-Texas, a wholly owned subsidiary of CMI International, purchasing a U. S. -dollar-denominated debt interest from Mellon Bank for US$1,125,000, then transferring this debt interest to its Mexican subsidiary, Industrias, in exchange for stock. The Mexican Government then canceled the debt and transferred pesos equivalent to US$1,955,000 to Industrias. CMI International reported no gain from this transaction on its 1988 federal income tax return, but the IRS determined a taxable gain of $830,000.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to CMI International, Inc. , asserting a $291,011 deficiency in its 1988 federal income tax due to a recognized gain from the swap transaction. CMI International challenged this determination in the U. S. Tax Court, which ruled in favor of the petitioner, holding that no gain was recognized under section 367(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Issue(s)

1. Whether CMI International, Inc. recognized gain on a debt-equity swap transaction involving its Mexican subsidiary under section 367(a) of the Internal Revenue Code?

Holding

1. No, because the debt interest transferred was not appreciated property, and thus, under section 1. 367(a)-1T(b)(3)(i), Temporary Income Tax Regs. , the recognized

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

gain was limited to zero.

Court’s Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the Danielson rule, which binds taxpayers to the form of their transaction unless evidence allows for reformation of the contract. The court found the transaction’s terms unambiguous, thus binding CMI International to the form of the swap. However, the court focused on the tax consequences, determining that under section 367(a), gain recognition is limited when the property transferred is not appreciated. The court noted that the debt interest’s basis and market value were equal at the time of transfer, meaning no gain would have been realized in a taxable sale. Therefore, the court concluded that no gain was recognized under the applicable regulations and legislative history, which aim to prevent the removal of appreciated assets from U. S. tax jurisdiction.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that gain recognition under section 367(a) hinges on whether the transferred property is appreciated. For legal practitioners and businesses engaging in international transactions, particularly debt-equity swaps, it is crucial to assess the appreciation status of the property involved. This ruling affects how similar transactions are analyzed, emphasizing the need to carefully document and value the assets in such swaps. It also influences corporate tax planning for multinational operations, potentially affecting decisions on where to locate assets or subsidiaries. Subsequent cases may reference this ruling when addressing the tax implications of international transfers of non-appreciated property.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.