

## ***St. Charles Investment Co. v. Commissioner, 107 T. C. 105 (1996)***

Suspended passive activity losses of a C corporation cannot be carried forward and deducted by the same entity after it elects S corporation status.

### **Summary**

In *St. Charles Investment Co. v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court ruled that a corporation's suspended passive activity losses (PALs) incurred as a C corporation could not be deducted after it elected S corporation status. St. Charles, previously a C corporation with PALs from rental real estate, sold its properties in 1991 after becoming an S corporation. The court held that under IRC §1371(b)(1), these losses could not be carried forward to the S corporation year. The court emphasized that PALs remain available for future use once the corporation reverts to C status, highlighting the distinction between the accounting methods and carryover rules applicable to different corporate tax regimes.

### **Facts**

St. Charles Investment Co. was a closely held C corporation that operated rental real estate, incurring passive activity losses (PALs) in 1988, 1989, and 1990. In 1991, St. Charles elected to become an S corporation. During that year, it disposed of seven rental properties, reporting a loss of \$9,237,752 from six properties and a gain of \$6,161 from the seventh. St. Charles sought to deduct the suspended PALs from the C corporation years against the gains from the property disposals. The IRS disallowed these deductions, leading to the present litigation.

### **Procedural History**

St. Charles filed a petition in the Tax Court for partial summary judgment on the issue of deducting suspended PALs after electing S corporation status. The IRS filed a cross-motion for partial summary judgment. The Tax Court granted the IRS's motion and denied St. Charles's motion, determining that the PALs could not be carried forward to the S corporation year.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether suspended passive activity losses (PALs) incurred by a closely held C corporation may be deducted by the same entity after it elects S corporation status in the year it disposes of the activity generating the losses.
2. Whether the basis of the assets used in the activity may be recomputed to restore amounts for portions of the suspended PALs attributable to depreciation, and the gain or loss from the disposition commensurately recalculated.

### **Holding**

1. No, because IRC §1371(b)(1) prohibits the carryforward of losses from a C

corporation year to an S corporation year.

2. No, because the depreciation deductions contributing to the PALs were allowable, and thus, the basis adjustments were properly taken.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court's decision hinged on the interpretation of IRC §1371(b)(1), which states that no carryforward from a C corporation year may be carried to an S corporation year. The court rejected St. Charles's argument that PALs should be treated as an accounting method rather than a carryforward, emphasizing that the legislative intent behind §1371(b)(1) was to prevent the use of C corporation losses to benefit S corporation shareholders. The court noted that while §469(b) allows PALs to be carried forward indefinitely, this carryforward is suspended during the S corporation years but resumes when the corporation reverts to C status, as St. Charles did in 1995. The court also rejected St. Charles's alternative argument that the basis of the disposed properties should be recomputed, holding that the depreciation deductions were allowable, and thus, the basis reductions were proper.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that suspended PALs from a C corporation cannot be utilized during the S corporation years, impacting how corporations plan their tax strategies around entity conversion. Practitioners must advise clients on the timing of property dispositions and entity elections to maximize tax benefits. The ruling also underscores the importance of understanding the interplay between different tax provisions, such as §469 and §1371, when advising on corporate restructuring. Subsequent cases, such as *Amorient, Inc. v. Commissioner*, have reaffirmed this principle, ensuring that suspended losses remain available for use upon reversion to C corporation status. Businesses considering S corporation elections must carefully consider the long-term tax implications of such decisions on their ability to utilize prior losses.