

## ***Coblentz v. Commissioner (In re Estate of McClatchy), 106 T. C. 206 (1996)***

For federal estate tax purposes, assets must be valued at their worth at the moment of the decedent's death, even if that value differs from their value before or after death.

### **Summary**

Charles K. McClatchy owned restricted Class B shares of McClatchy Newspapers, Inc. , valued at \$12. 3375 per share during his life due to securities law restrictions. Upon his death, these restrictions ceased to apply, increasing the share value to \$15. 56. The issue before the United States Tax Court was whether the estate tax valuation should reflect the pre-death or post-death value. The court held that the shares should be valued at the moment of death, at \$15. 56 per share, as this was the value at the instant the property transferred from the decedent to his estate. This ruling was based on established legal principles emphasizing valuation at the moment of death.

### **Facts**

Charles K. McClatchy died on April 16, 1989, owning 2,078,865 Class B shares of McClatchy Newspapers, Inc. These shares were subject to Federal securities law restrictions under Rule 144 due to McClatchy's status as an Affiliate of the company. These restrictions limited the shares' marketability, resulting in a value of \$12. 3375 per share during his lifetime. Upon his death, these restrictions no longer applied to the shares in the hands of his estate, increasing their value to \$15. 56 per share.

### **Procedural History**

The estate filed a Federal estate tax return valuing the Class B shares at \$12. 3375 per share. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency and an addition to tax, arguing that the shares should be valued at \$15. 56 per share at the moment of death. The case was submitted fully stipulated to the United States Tax Court, which issued its opinion on April 3, 1996, siding with the Commissioner.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the securities law restrictions applicable to Class B shares during decedent's lifetime should be considered in determining the value of those shares for Federal estate tax purposes.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the value of the Class B shares for Federal estate tax purposes is determined at the moment of death, and at that instant, the securities law restrictions ceased to apply, resulting in a value of \$15. 56 per share.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on the principle that estate tax valuation must occur at the moment of death, as established in cases like *Ahmanson Foundation v. United States* and *United States v. Land*. The court noted that the estate tax is imposed on the transfer of property, and thus, the valuation must reflect the property's value at the time of transfer. The securities law restrictions that applied to McClatchy during his lifetime did not apply to the estate, which was not an Affiliate. Therefore, the court reasoned that the shares should be valued without these restrictions. The court also distinguished this case from *Estate of Harper v. Commissioner*, clarifying that Harper dealt with changes in value resulting from post-death distributions, not pre-death restrictions. The court further rejected arguments that the unified gift and estate tax system required consideration of the pre-death restrictions, as Congress intended the tax to reflect the value of the property at the time of transfer, not its value during life or after distribution.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of valuing assets at the moment of death for estate tax purposes, regardless of any changes in value that occur immediately before or after. Practitioners must consider how legal restrictions or other factors affecting an asset's value during life may change upon death. This case affects estate planning, particularly for assets with value contingent on the owner's status, such as securities held by corporate insiders. It also informs the valuation of similar assets in future estate tax cases, emphasizing the need to evaluate assets at the precise moment of transfer rather than based on pre-death or post-death circumstances. Subsequent cases have followed this principle, further solidifying the rule that estate tax valuation must be based on the asset's value at the moment of death.