

Estate of Clack v. Commissioner, 106 T. C. 131 (1996)

Property qualifies as QTIP only if the surviving spouse has a qualifying income interest at the time of the QTIP election.

Summary

Willis Clack's will established a marital trust for his wife, Alice, but the transfer of property to this trust was contingent on the executor's QTIP election. The Tax Court initially ruled that such contingency disqualified the property as QTIP because it gave the executor power to appoint the property away from Alice. However, after reversals by three Circuit Courts, the Tax Court acceded to the view that the property qualifies as QTIP if the election is made, regardless of the contingency. This case underscores the importance of the QTIP election in determining property qualification for the marital deduction.

Facts

Willis Clack died testate in Arkansas in 1987, survived by his wife, Alice, and their children. His will directed that a marital trust be funded with the minimum amount necessary for the federal estate tax marital deduction. The trust's funding was contingent upon the executors electing to treat the property as qualified terminable interest property (QTIP). If no election was made, the property would fund a family trust instead. The executors elected to treat the entire marital trust amount as QTIP on the estate tax return, but the IRS disallowed the deduction, arguing that the contingency invalidated the QTIP status.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Clack's estate, disallowing the marital deduction claimed for the marital trust. The estate petitioned the Tax Court, which initially ruled against the estate based on prior decisions in *Estate of Clayton*, *Estate of Robertson*, and *Estate of Spencer*. However, these decisions were reversed by the Fifth, Eighth, and Sixth Circuit Courts, leading the Tax Court to reconsider its stance in *Estate of Clack*.

Issue(s)

1. Whether property in which the surviving spouse's interest is contingent upon the executor's QTIP election qualifies as QTIP under IRC § 2056(b)(7).

Holding

1. Yes, because the property qualifies as QTIP if the executor makes the QTIP election, as established by the reversals of prior Tax Court decisions by the Circuit Courts.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court, influenced by the reversals of its prior decisions by the Fifth, Eighth, and Sixth Circuits, held that property qualifies as QTIP if the executor makes the QTIP election, regardless of the contingency on the executor's power. The court noted that the statutory language of IRC § 2056(b)(7) requires that the surviving spouse have a qualifying income interest for life, but the Circuits interpreted this requirement as being fulfilled upon the election's filing, not at the decedent's death. The Tax Court declined to delve deeply into the differing rationales of the Circuits but acceded to their result to avoid inconsistency. The court also left open the question of the validity of a new regulation that would disallow QTIP treatment for contingent interests, as it was not applicable to the estate due to the date of death.

Practical Implications

This decision significantly impacts estate planning involving QTIP trusts. It clarifies that executors can use the QTIP election as a tool for post-mortem tax planning, allowing them to decide whether to include property in the decedent's or surviving spouse's estate. This flexibility is beneficial for optimizing the use of the marital deduction and unified credit. However, practitioners must be aware of the new regulation effective for estates of decedents dying after March 1, 1994, which could alter this approach. Future cases may need to address the regulation's validity, potentially affecting estate planning strategies. This case also emphasizes the importance of the timing of the QTIP election in determining property qualification, guiding practitioners in advising clients on estate planning.