## Ripley v. Commissioner, 103 T. C. 601 (1994)

The statute of limitations for assessing transferee liability extends one year beyond the expiration of the donor's assessment period, and the value of a gift for tax purposes is determined without reduction for subsequent tax liabilities of the donee.

## **Summary**

In Ripley v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed the timeliness of the IRS's assessment of transferee liability against the petitioners, who received a gift of real estate valued at \$93,300 from their mother in 1983. The court determined that the notices of transferee liability were timely issued within the statute of limitations, which was extended due to the suspension of the donor's assessment period following a notice of deficiency. Additionally, the court held that the petitioners' liability as transferees was limited to the full value of the gift received, without any reduction for their subsequent gift tax liability. This case clarifies the application of the statute of limitations in transferee liability cases and the valuation of gifts for tax purposes.

#### **Facts**

In 1983, Mildred M. Ripley gifted two parcels of real estate valued at \$93,300 to her son Walter R. Ripley and his wife Melynda H. Ripley, the petitioners. The donor reported the gift on her 1983 gift tax return. The IRS later assessed additional gift tax against the donor, resulting in a deficiency of \$239,124, which was settled via a stipulated decision in 1992. The donor did not pay the assessed gift tax, leading the IRS to issue notices of donee/transferee liability to the petitioners for \$93,300 each on September 17, 1993. The petitioners challenged the timeliness of these notices and the amount of their liability, arguing that their subsequent gift tax liability should reduce the value of the gift.

## **Procedural History**

The IRS issued notices of gift tax deficiency to Mildred Ripley on February 9, 1990, and she filed a petition with the Tax Court. A stipulated decision was entered on February 25, 1992, settling the donor's liability at \$239,124. The IRS assessed the tax against the donor on April 7, 1992, and subsequently issued notices of transferee liability to the petitioners on September 17, 1993. The petitioners challenged these notices in the Tax Court, which held that the notices were timely and that the petitioners' liability was limited to the full value of the gift received.

#### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether the notices of donee/transferee liability issued to the petitioners on September 17, 1993, were timely under the statute of limitations.
- 2. Whether the petitioners' transferee liability should be reduced by the amount of gift tax they were required to pay.

## Holding

- 1. Yes, because the statute of limitations for assessing transferee liability was extended until October 1, 1993, due to the suspension of the donor's assessment period following the issuance of a notice of deficiency and the entry of a stipulated decision.
- 2. No, because the value of the gift is determined by its fair market value at the time of transfer, without reduction for subsequent tax liabilities of the donee.

# **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied section 6901(c)(1) to determine that the statute of limitations for assessing transferee liability extended one year beyond the expiration of the donor's assessment period. The donor's assessment period was suspended under section 6503(a)(1) upon the issuance of a notice of deficiency and further extended by the stipulated decision, which did not become final until 90 days after its entry plus an additional 60 days. The court rejected the petitioners' argument that the donor's waiver of assessment restrictions under section 6213(a) terminated the suspension of the limitations period, relying on precedent that such waivers do not affect the finality of Tax Court decisions.

Regarding the valuation of the gift, the court applied section 6324(b), which limits transferee liability to the value of the gift received. The court held that the value of the gift is its fair market value at the time of transfer, as defined by section 2512, and is not reduced by subsequent tax liabilities of the donee. The court distinguished this from situations involving encumbrances like mortgages, which reduce the value of the gift at the time of transfer, and rejected the petitioners' attempt to analogize their situation to a "net gift" transaction.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that the statute of limitations for assessing transferee liability is extended by the suspension of the donor's assessment period, even if the donor waives assessment restrictions. Attorneys should carefully track the donor's assessment period and any extensions or suspensions when advising clients on potential transferee liability.

The ruling also reinforces that the value of a gift for tax purposes is its fair market value at the time of transfer, without reduction for subsequent tax liabilities of the donee. This principle is crucial for estate and gift tax planning, as it affects the calculation of transferee liability and the potential tax exposure of donees.

The decision may impact business transactions involving gifts, as it highlights the potential for donees to face full liability for the value of gifts received if the donor fails to pay the associated gift tax. It also underscores the importance of considering the tax implications of gifts in estate planning and the potential for the IRS to pursue transferee liability as a means of collecting unpaid gift taxes.