# Western Waste Industries v. Commissioner, 103 T. C. 537 (1994)

Treasury regulations regarding diesel fuel tax credits are valid if they are reasonable and not plainly inconsistent with the statute.

### Summary

Western Waste Industries sought a tax credit for diesel fuel used in on-road vehicles equipped with power takeoff units. The IRS disallowed the credit, citing Treasury Regulation section 48. 4041-7, which taxes all fuel used in a single-motor vehicle, even for nonpropulsion uses. The Tax Court upheld the regulation, finding it a reasonable interpretation of the statute that taxes fuel used "in" a diesel-powered highway vehicle. The decision reinforced the deference courts give to Treasury regulations and clarified the scope of diesel fuel tax credits, impacting how businesses claim credits for fuel used in multi-purpose vehicles.

# Facts

Western Waste Industries, a solid waste management company, operated dieselpowered trucks registered for highway use. These trucks were equipped with single motors and power takeoff units that powered hydraulic systems for refuse handling. The company claimed a tax credit for the fuel used by these units under section 34 of the Internal Revenue Code. The IRS disallowed the credit for the on-road vehicles, applying Treasury Regulation section 48. 4041-7, which taxes all fuel used in a single-motor vehicle, regardless of its use for propulsion or nonpropulsion operations.

# **Procedural History**

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Western Waste Industries for the taxable year ending June 30, 1988. The company petitioned the Tax Court for relief. The case was submitted fully stipulated, and the court upheld the IRS's position, affirming the validity of the Treasury regulation in question.

# Issue(s)

1. Whether Treasury Regulation section 48. 4041-7, which taxes all fuel used in a single-motor vehicle, is a valid interpretation of section 4041 of the Internal Revenue Code?

# Holding

1. Yes, because the regulation is a reasonable and permissible construction of the statute, consistent with its plain language and purpose.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the Chevron deference standard, which requires upholding an agency's interpretation of a statute if it is reasonable and not plainly inconsistent with the law. The court found that section 4041(a)(1) imposes a tax on diesel fuel used "in" a diesel-powered highway vehicle, which the regulation reasonably interpreted to include all fuel used by a single motor, regardless of its use for propulsion or nonpropulsion operations. The court noted the regulation's long-standing history and consistency, reinforcing its validity. The court also dismissed the relevance of state tax laws and the Secretary's amendments to the regulation as not undermining its reasonableness. The court emphasized that the regulation's interpretation of the statute was permissible and should be upheld, citing cases like National Muffler Dealers Association and Bingler v. Johnson.

# **Practical Implications**

This decision affirms the validity of Treasury regulations in interpreting tax statutes and the broad scope of the diesel fuel tax. Businesses operating vehicles with single motors for both propulsion and nonpropulsion uses must be aware that all fuel used in such vehicles is subject to tax, affecting how they calculate and claim fuel tax credits. The ruling underscores the importance of understanding the specific language of tax statutes and regulations when claiming credits. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the court's deference to Treasury regulations in tax law. This case also highlights the need for businesses to carefully review and possibly challenge regulations if they believe them to be unreasonable or inconsistent with statutory language.