***Old Harbor Native Corp. v. Commissioner, 104 T. C. 191 (1995)***

Payments received for conditional rights are taxable in the year received, and lobbying expenses related to land conveyances under ANCSA are deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses.

**Summary**

Old Harbor Native Corporation, formed under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA), received payments from Texaco for the potential to lease subsurface rights contingent on legislative approval. The court ruled these payments were taxable income upon receipt, not deferred as option payments, due to the conditional nature of the rights. Additionally, lobbying expenses incurred to secure this legislation were deemed deductible under ANCSA. The case also addressed the taxability of revenue-sharing payments under ANCSA, finding them taxable upon receipt.

**Facts**

Old Harbor Native Corporation (OHNC), an Alaska native village corporation, negotiated with the Department of the Interior (DOI) to exchange surface rights for subsurface rights in the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge (ANWR). This proposed exchange was contingent on legislative approval. Before finalizing the agreement, OHNC granted Texaco the right to lease these potential subsurface rights, also contingent on the same legislation. Texaco paid OHNC $5,050,000 in 1987 and $270,000 in 1988. OHNC also incurred $123,986 in lobbying expenses in 1987 to promote the necessary legislation. Additionally, OHNC received revenue-sharing payments from Koniag Regional Native Corp. under ANCSA.

**Procedural History**

OHNC petitioned the Tax Court to redetermine the IRS’s determination of tax deficiencies for 1987 and 1988, asserting that the payments from Texaco were option payments and thus not immediately taxable, and that lobbying expenses were deductible. The case was fully stipulated and heard by the Tax Court.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether payments of $5,050,000 and $270,000 received by OHNC from Texaco in 1987 and 1988, respectively, were excludable from gross income as option payments?
2. Whether OHNC’s unreimbursed expenses of $123,986 incurred in 1987 for lobbying were ordinary and necessary business expenses deductible under ANCSA?
3. Whether revenue-sharing payments of $58,070 and $28,681 received by OHNC from Koniag in 1987 and 1988, respectively, were includable in OHNC’s gross income?

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Holding

1. No, because the payments were not for options but for conditional rights, making them taxable in the year received.
2. Yes, because the lobbying expenses were incurred in connection with the conveyance of land under ANCSA, making them deductible.
3. Yes, because these payments were not from the Alaska Native Fund and were thus taxable upon receipt.

## Court's Reasoning

The court determined that the payments from Texaco were not for options because they were contingent on legislative action and the execution of the DOI agreement, lacking the unconditional power of acceptance characteristic of options. The court cited cases like *Saviano v. Commissioner* and *Booker v. Commissioner* to support this view. For the lobbying expenses, the court interpreted ANCSA broadly, finding that the expenses were connected to the conveyance of land under the act, and thus deductible. The court also clarified that revenue-sharing payments under ANCSA were taxable upon receipt unless derived from the Alaska Native Fund, emphasizing the economic benefit to OHNC.

## Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that payments for conditional rights are taxable upon receipt, impacting how similar transactions should be treated for tax purposes. It also affirms the deductibility of lobbying expenses related to ANCSA land conveyances, guiding future tax planning for native corporations. The ruling on revenue-sharing payments underlines their taxability, affecting financial planning for both regional and village corporations under ANCSA. Subsequent cases have referenced this decision in analyzing the tax treatment of similar arrangements and expenses.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 