

## ***CSI Hydrostatic Testers, Inc. v. Commissioner, 103 T. C. 398 (1994)***

Cancellation of debt (COD) income excluded from taxable income must be included in a subsidiary's earnings and profits for calculating the parent's excess loss account.

### **Summary**

CSI Hydrostatic Testers, Inc. and its subsidiaries filed consolidated tax returns. A subsidiary, Sea Level International, Inc. , was discharged from \$4. 3 million in debt during bankruptcy. Sea Level excluded this COD income from its taxable income but included it in its earnings and profits, adjusting CSI's investment basis in Sea Level's stock accordingly. The IRS argued that the COD income should not be included in earnings and profits for excess loss account calculations. The Tax Court held that COD income must be included in earnings and profits for these purposes, rejecting the IRS's arguments that the amount should be limited or that reduced tax attributes should be treated as absorbed.

### **Facts**

CSI Hydrostatic Testers, Inc. , owned 80% of Sea Level International, Inc. , and 100% of CSI Blasters Painters, Inc. These entities filed consolidated Federal income tax returns. Due to a decline in the oil and gas industry, Sea Level filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy and eventually liquidated. Sea Level was discharged from \$4,321,245 of debt, which it excluded from its taxable income under IRC section 108(a). However, Sea Level included this COD income in its earnings and profits as required by IRC section 312(l). CSI adjusted its investment basis in Sea Level's stock to reflect this increase in earnings and profits, eliminating its excess loss account balance in Sea Level's stock.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS determined a deficiency in CSI's Federal income tax and argued that the COD income should not be included in Sea Level's earnings and profits for excess loss account calculations. The case proceeded to the United States Tax Court, which heard the case and issued a decision in favor of CSI.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether cancellation of debt (COD) income, excluded from taxable income under IRC section 108(a), should be included in the subsidiary's earnings and profits for computing the parent's excess loss account under Treas. Reg. section 1. 1502-19.
2. Whether, for purposes of the investment basis adjustment rules under Treas. Reg. section 1. 1502-32(b), the amount of COD income included in the subsidiary's earnings and profits is limited to the tax attributes reduced pursuant to IRC section 108(b)(2)(A), and if so, whether the subsidiary's net operating loss carryovers should be treated as absorbed for purposes of Treas. Reg. section 1. 1502-32(b)(2)(ii).

## **Holding**

1. Yes, because IRC section 312(l) requires that COD income be included in earnings and profits, and Treas. Reg. section 1. 1502-19 requires that the excess loss account be computed according to the investment basis adjustment rules of Treas. Reg. section 1. 1502-32.
2. No, because neither the Code nor the regulations support limiting the COD income included in earnings and profits to the amount of reduced tax attributes, nor do they support treating reduced net operating losses as absorbed for the purposes of the negative adjustment under Treas. Reg. section 1. 1502-32(b)(2)(ii).

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on prior cases like *Woods Inv. Co. v. Commissioner* and *Wyman-Gordon Co. v. Commissioner*, which established that earnings and profits must be calculated according to IRC section 312 for the purposes of the investment basis adjustment rules. The court noted that IRC section 312(l) requires the inclusion of COD income in earnings and profits, and that this must be followed for excess loss account calculations. The court rejected the IRS's argument that the amount of COD income should be limited or that reduced tax attributes should be treated as absorbed, citing a lack of support in the Code or regulations for such positions. The court also declined to defer to the IRS's interpretation, as it was not supported by any public rulings or longstanding practice.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that COD income must be included in a subsidiary's earnings and profits for excess loss account calculations, even if excluded from taxable income. This ruling affects how parent companies calculate their excess loss accounts when a subsidiary is discharged from debt. It also underscores the importance of following the specific provisions of the IRC and regulations when computing earnings and profits. The decision may influence how similar cases are analyzed, particularly in bankruptcy scenarios where debt forgiveness is common. It also highlights the need for the IRS to update regulations to address inconsistencies between statutory provisions and regulatory requirements. This case has been distinguished in subsequent rulings, such as in the context of amendments to IRC section 1503(e), which may affect future applications of this principle.