

## ***Amorient, Inc. v. Commissioner, 103 T. C. 161 (1994)***

A consolidated net operating loss cannot be carried back to a year when the subsidiary generating the loss was not part of the consolidated group.

### **Summary**

Amorient, Inc. attempted to carry back a consolidated net operating loss from its fiscal year 1983 to 1980, a portion of which was attributable to its subsidiary, Allen Properties Development Co. , Inc. (APD), for the period September 1, 1982, through February 28, 1983. APD had been an S corporation prior to its acquisition by Amorient on August 31, 1982, and thus could not carry back losses to its S corporation years. The Tax Court held that the consolidated net operating loss attributable to APD could not be carried back to 1980 because APD was not part of the Amorient consolidated group during that year, emphasizing the principle that business losses must be offset against gains of the same business unit.

### **Facts**

Amorient, Inc. , a Delaware corporation, acquired all the stock of Allen Properties Development Co. , Inc. (APD), a California corporation, on August 31, 1982. Prior to the acquisition, APD had elected S corporation status, effective February 13, 1980. Upon acquisition by Amorient, APD's S corporation status terminated, and it became part of Amorient's consolidated group. For the fiscal year ending February 28, 1983, Amorient reported a consolidated net operating loss, part of which was attributable to APD's operations from September 1, 1982, through February 28, 1983. Amorient attempted to carry back this loss to offset income from its fiscal year ending February 29, 1980, when APD was not part of the group.

### **Procedural History**

Amorient filed a petition with the U. S. Tax Court challenging the Commissioner's disallowance of a \$208,416 net operating loss carryback deduction attributable to APD's operations. The case was submitted fully stipulated, and the Tax Court issued its opinion on August 9, 1994.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Amorient may carry back and deduct from its consolidated taxable income for the fiscal year ending February 29, 1980, a portion of its consolidated net operating loss for the fiscal year ending February 28, 1983, which was attributable to APD's operations as a C corporation from September 1, 1982, through February 28, 1983.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the consolidated net operating loss attributable to APD cannot be

carried back to a year in which APD was not part of the Amorient consolidated group, as per the consolidated return regulations under section 1502 and the principle that business losses may only be offset against gains of the same business unit.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court relied on the consolidated return regulations under section 1502, specifically sections 1. 1502-21 and 1. 1502-79, which govern the calculation of consolidated net operating loss deductions and the apportionment of losses to separate return years. The court found that APD's loss, generated post-acquisition, could not be carried back to a year when APD was not part of the consolidated group, consistent with the principle articulated in prior cases that business losses must be offset against gains of the same business unit. The court rejected Amorient's arguments that APD's prior S corporation status should allow the carryback, emphasizing APD's corporate status and the distinction between corporate and partnership tax treatment. The court also noted that the loss could be carried forward for up to 15 years, providing a future tax benefit, thus mitigating any harshness in the ruling.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that a consolidated net operating loss cannot be carried back to offset income in years before a subsidiary joined the consolidated group. Tax practitioners must carefully consider the composition of the group in each tax year when planning loss carrybacks. The ruling reinforces the importance of treating the consolidated group as a single business unit for tax purposes. It may affect acquisition strategies, as companies must plan for the tax treatment of losses from newly acquired subsidiaries. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, further solidifying the rule that losses must be offset within the same business unit. This decision underscores the need to understand the historical corporate structure and tax status of acquired entities when dealing with consolidated returns and net operating losses.