

## ***Ripley v. Commissioner, 102 T. C. 646 (1994)***

The IRS may pursue collection against a donee under a special gift tax lien without being subject to the normal deficiency procedures.

### **Summary**

In *Ripley v. Commissioner*, the court addressed whether the IRS could continue collection efforts against a donee under a special gift tax lien despite a pending petition for redetermination of transferee liability. Mildred Ripley transferred properties to her son, Joseph Ripley, who later faced IRS collection actions when his mother failed to pay the assessed gift tax. The court held that the IRS could enforce the special gift tax lien under section 6324(b) without adhering to the deficiency procedures outlined in section 6213(a), affirming the IRS's right to collect from the donee independently of the transferee liability assessment process.

### **Facts**

In 1983, Mildred M. Ripley transferred properties to her son, Joseph M. Ripley, Jr. She filed a gift tax return, but the IRS determined an undervaluation and assessed a gift tax deficiency. After a stipulated decision in 1992, the IRS assessed the deficiency against Mildred. Joseph sold parts of the gifted properties in 1984 and 1990. In 1993, the IRS filed a tax lien against Joseph and issued notices of levy and seizure on his properties, prompting Joseph to file a motion to restrain assessment and collection, citing his pending petition for redetermination of his transferee liability.

### **Procedural History**

Mildred Ripley filed a petition for redetermination of her gift tax liability, resulting in a stipulated decision in 1992. The IRS assessed the deficiency against Mildred and later pursued collection from Joseph as a transferee. Joseph filed a petition for redetermination of his transferee liability in December 1993. The IRS continued its collection efforts, leading Joseph to file a motion to restrain assessment and collection, which was denied by the Tax Court.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the IRS's collection efforts under section 6324(b) should be enjoined pursuant to section 6213(a) given that the petitioner has a timely petition for redetermination of his transferee liability pending before the court.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the IRS is authorized to enforce a special gift tax lien under section 6324(b) independently of the deficiency procedures under section 6213(a), allowing collection efforts to continue despite the pending petition for redetermination.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court's decision hinged on the interpretation of section 6324(b), which allows the IRS to enforce a special gift tax lien against a donee's property for 10 years from the date of the gift. The court noted that this lien operates independently of the general lien under section 6321 and the transferee liability procedures under section 6901. The court cited regulations and case law, such as *United States v. Geniviva* and *United States v. Russell*, to support the IRS's right to pursue collection under the special lien without prior assessment of the transferee. The court reasoned that the special lien and transferee liability procedures are cumulative and alternative, not exclusive, allowing the IRS to proceed with collection under the special lien despite the pending petition. The court emphasized that section 6213(a) does not apply to collection efforts under section 6324(b), as Congress did not subject such collection to the normal deficiency procedures.

## **Practical Implications**

*Ripley v. Commissioner* clarifies that the IRS can enforce special gift tax liens against donees without being constrained by the usual deficiency procedures. This ruling allows the IRS greater flexibility in collecting gift taxes, potentially affecting estate planning and tax strategies involving gifts. Attorneys should advise clients on the risks of receiving gifts that may be subject to such liens and the potential for IRS collection actions even when a petition for redetermination is pending. This case may influence how similar cases are handled, with courts likely to uphold the IRS's ability to use special liens as an alternative collection method. Subsequent cases have applied this ruling to affirm the IRS's collection authority under special estate and gift tax liens.