Janpol v. Commissioner, 102 T. C. 499 (1994)

The filing of entity returns does not preclude liability for additions to tax for failure to file individual excise tax returns.

Summary

In Janpol v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court held that petitioners were liable for additions to tax under Section 6651(a)(1) for failing to file excise tax returns on Form 5330, despite the trust filing Forms 5500-R and 5500-C. The court determined that these entity returns did not satisfy the requirements to be considered as filed returns for the petitioners' excise tax liabilities. Furthermore, the court found that the petitioners did not have reasonable cause for failing to file, as they did not demonstrate a reasonable effort to ascertain their tax obligations. This decision clarifies the distinction between entity and individual filing requirements for excise taxes and underscores the importance of filing the correct forms to avoid additional penalties.

Facts

The petitioners, Arthur S. Janpol and Donald Berlin, were previously found liable for excise taxes under Section 4975(a) due to prohibited transactions involving loans to the Imported Motors Profit Sharing Trust. They did not file the required excise tax returns on Form 5330 for the years 1986 through 1988. However, the trust itself filed Form 5500-R for 1987 and Form 5500-C for 1988, which are annual returns required for profit-sharing plans. The petitioners argued that these filings should preclude their liability for additions to tax for failure to file their individual excise tax returns.

Procedural History

The case initially addressed the petitioners' liability for excise taxes on prohibited transactions in a 1993 decision by the U. S. Tax Court (101 T. C. 518). The court then considered in the 1994 decision whether the petitioners were liable for additions to tax under Section 6651(a)(1) for failing to file the required excise tax returns on Form 5330. The court analyzed the effect of the trust's filing of Forms 5500-R and 5500-C on the petitioners' excise tax obligations and the applicability of the statute of limitations.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Section 6651(a)(1) addition to tax applies to Section 4975(a) excise taxes on prohibited transactions.
2. Whether the filing of Forms 5500-R and 5500-C by the trust precludes the imposition of Section 6651(a)(1) additions to tax for the petitioners' failure to file Form 5330.
3. Whether the petitioners had reasonable cause for failing to file their excise tax

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

returns.

Holding

1. Yes, because Section 6651(a)(1) applies to all returns required under subchapter A of chapter 61, which includes the excise tax returns specified in the regulations.
2. No, because the Forms 5500-R and 5500-C filed by the trust do not satisfy the requirements to be considered as filed returns for the petitioners' excise tax liabilities.
3. No, because the petitioners did not demonstrate a reasonable effort to ascertain their tax obligations and comply with the filing requirements.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied Section 6651(a)(1), which imposes additions to tax for failure to file any return required under subchapter A of chapter 61, unless the failure is due to reasonable cause and not willful neglect. The court found that the regulations under Section 6011(a) require disqualified persons to file Form 5330 for excise taxes under Section 4975(a), and the petitioners' failure to file these forms subjected them to the addition to tax. The court distinguished between the filing requirements for the trust (Forms 5500-R and 5500-C) and the individual filing requirements for the disqualified persons (Form 5330). The court also considered the statute of limitations under Section 6501(l)(1), which starts running upon the filing of the trust's returns, but found that this provision does not affect the application of Section 6651(a)(1). The court rejected the petitioners' argument that the trust's returns constituted their returns for excise tax purposes, as these forms did not contain the necessary data to calculate the petitioners' excise tax liabilities. Finally, the court found that the petitioners did not have reasonable cause for failing to file, as they did not demonstrate a good faith effort to comply with the filing requirements, despite being advised by the U. S. Department of Labor that their loans to the trust were prohibited.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the filing of entity returns (Forms 5500-R and 5500-C) does not satisfy the individual filing requirements for disqualified persons liable for excise taxes on prohibited transactions (Form 5330). Legal practitioners and taxpayers must be aware of the distinction between these filing requirements to avoid additions to tax for failure to file. The decision also emphasizes the importance of making a reasonable effort to ascertain tax obligations and comply with filing requirements, even if the taxpayer disagrees with the interpretation of the law. This case may impact how similar cases are analyzed, particularly in determining the applicability of additions to tax and the sufficiency of entity filings for individual tax liabilities. Subsequent cases may need to address the interplay between entity and individual filing requirements for various types of taxes and penalties.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.