Northern Indiana Public Service Company and Subsidiaries, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 101 T. C. 294 (1993)

The six-year statute of limitations applies to withholding tax assessments when gross income paid to nonresident aliens is understated by over 25% on Form 1042.

Summary

In Northern Ind. Pub. Serv. Co. v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled on the application of the six-year statute of limitations under IRC § 6501(e)(1) for assessing withholding tax deficiencies. The company, NIPSCO, failed to report over $12. 6 million in interest payments to nonresident aliens on its Form 1042, which was more than 25% of the reported gross income. The court rejected NIPSCO's argument that the omission was not of "gross income" as defined in the statute, holding that such an omission triggers the extended six-year period for assessment. This decision underscores the importance of accurate reporting of withholding liabilities and affects how similar cases should be approached in tax law.

Facts

Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO) paid interest on Euronote obligations through its wholly-owned foreign subsidiary, NIPSCO Finance N. V. In 1982, NIPSCO filed a Form 1042, reporting $60,791. 97 as the gross amount paid to nonresident aliens but omitted $12,617,500 in interest payments, which exceeded 25% of the reported gross income. The IRS determined a deficiency and issued a notice of deficiency, asserting that the interest was improperly capitalized and should have been reported by NIPSCO. NIPSCO moved for partial summary judgment, arguing the omission did not constitute "gross income" under IRC § 6501(e)(1).

Procedural History

NIPSCO filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court challenging the IRS's notice of deficiency for the 1982 tax year. The IRS and NIPSCO executed multiple consents to extend the statute of limitations, which were conditioned on the applicability of the six-year period under IRC § 6501(e)(1). NIPSCO's motion for partial summary judgment was based on the contention that the extended statute did not apply to their situation.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the six-year period for assessment of tax under IRC § 6501(e)(1) applies when the income subject to withholding tax under IRC § 1441 is understated by an amount in excess of 25% of the gross income stated on Form 1042.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because an understatement of interest paid to nonresident aliens on Form 1042 constitutes an omission of "gross income" within the meaning of IRC § 6501(e)(1), thus triggering the six-year statute of limitations.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the statutory language of IRC § 6501(e)(1) to the withholding provisions in IRC §§ 1441 and 1461, both of which are part of Subtitle A (Income Taxes). The court noted that Form 1042 is a return of tax imposed by Subtitle A, and the interest payments omitted by NIPSCO were "gross income" as defined by the Code. The court referenced Treasury Regulation § 301. 6501(e)-1(a)(1)(i) to support the inclusion of withholding tax returns within the statute's scope. The court also relied on the Supreme Court's decision in Colony, Inc. v. Commissioner, emphasizing that the extended period is meant to address situations where the IRS is at a disadvantage due to omitted taxable items. The court concluded that NIPSCO's omission placed the IRS in such a position, justifying the application of the six-year period.

Practical Implications

This decision impacts how tax practitioners and withholding agents report and manage withholding taxes, emphasizing the necessity of accurately reporting all payments to nonresident aliens to avoid triggering the extended statute of limitations. It clarifies that the six-year period applies not only to income received by a taxpayer but also to income paid and subject to withholding. This ruling may lead to stricter compliance measures and more thorough audits by the IRS to ensure full disclosure on Form 1042. Subsequent cases have cited this decision to support the broad application of IRC § 6501(e)(1) across various types of tax returns and income omissions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.