Estate of Cavenaugh v. Commissioner, 106 T. C. 371 (1996)

Property included in a decedent's gross estate under the QTIP election and term life insurance proceeds must be included in the estate if the decedent had a qualifying income interest for life.

Summary

In Estate of Cavenaugh, the Tax Court ruled that property interests for which a QTIP election was made must be included in the decedent's gross estate if he had a qualifying income interest for life. The court also held that the entire proceeds of a term life insurance policy must be included in the decedent's gross estate, as the community property interest of the predeceased spouse lapsed upon her death due to the policy's lack of cash surrender value. Additionally, the court upheld a penalty for late filing of the estate tax return, finding no reasonable cause for the delay.

Facts

Herbert R. Cavenaugh (Dr. Cavenaugh) died in 1986, leaving behind a second wife and three sons from his first marriage to Mary Jane Stephens Cavenaugh (Mrs. Cavenaugh), who died in 1983. Mrs. Cavenaugh's will provided Dr. Cavenaugh with life estates in various properties, including the family home and a residuary trust. Dr. Cavenaugh, as executor of Mrs. Cavenaugh's estate, elected to claim a marital deduction for qualifying terminable interest property (QTIP) under section 2056(b)(7). Upon Dr. Cavenaugh's death, his estate excluded these QTIP properties and half of the proceeds from a term life insurance policy purchased by the Cavenaughs in 1980, arguing that Mrs. Cavenaugh's estate retained a half interest in the policy.

Procedural History

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in Dr. Cavenaugh's estate tax and an addition to tax for late filing. The estate filed a petition with the Tax Court, challenging the inclusion of the QTIP property and half of the life insurance proceeds in the gross estate, as well as the addition to tax. The Tax Court sustained the Commissioner's determinations on all issues.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the estate of Dr. Cavenaugh should have included in its gross estate property interests for which a QTIP election was made under section 2056(b)(7)?
2. Whether the estate of Dr. Cavenaugh should have included in its gross estate the entire proceeds of a term life insurance policy on Dr. Cavenaugh's life?
3. Whether the estate of Dr. Cavenaugh is liable for an addition to tax under section 6651(a)(1) for the late filing of its Federal estate tax return?

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because Dr. Cavenaugh had a qualifying income interest for life in the QTIP property, and the QTIP election was valid and irrevocable.
2. Yes, because Mrs. Cavenaugh's community property interest in the term life insurance policy lapsed upon her death due to the policy's lack of cash surrender value.
3. Yes, because the estate failed to establish reasonable cause for the late filing of its Federal estate tax return.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied section 2044, which requires the inclusion of QTIP property in the decedent's gross estate if the decedent had a qualifying income interest for life. It determined that Dr. Cavenaugh had such an interest in the properties under Mrs. Cavenaugh's will, as he was entitled to all income at least annually. The court rejected the estate's argument that the QTIP election was invalid, noting that it was irrevocable once made. Regarding the life insurance proceeds, the court applied Texas community property law, finding that Mrs. Cavenaugh's interest in the policy lapsed upon her death because the policy had no cash surrender value. The court also upheld the addition to tax for late filing, finding no reasonable cause for the delay despite the estate's involvement in probate litigation.

Practical Implications

This decision reinforces the importance of properly administering QTIP elections and understanding the impact on the surviving spouse's estate. Practitioners should ensure that clients understand the irrevocable nature of QTIP elections and the potential estate tax consequences. The ruling on term life insurance proceeds clarifies that in Texas, the community property interest of the predeceased spouse lapses if the policy has no cash surrender value at the time of death. This may impact estate planning strategies involving term life insurance in community property states. The court's stance on late filing penalties emphasizes the need for estates to file returns based on the best information available and amend later if necessary, rather than delaying filing due to ongoing litigation.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. | 2