### Kovacs v. Commissioner, 100 T. C. 124 (1993)

Statutory interest on damages awarded for personal injuries is not excludable from gross income under IRC section 104(a)(2).

## **Summary**

The Kovacs family received a wrongful death award of \$995,000 from a Michigan court, which was later paid with \$1,253,607. 17 in statutory interest. The issue was whether this interest was excludable from gross income under IRC section 104(a)(2), which excludes damages received on account of personal injuries. The Tax Court held that the statutory interest was not excludable, reasoning that it was separate from the damages and constituted taxable income. However, the court allowed the deduction of attorney's fees attributable to the taxable interest. This decision underscores the distinction between damages and interest for tax purposes and impacts how similar cases are treated.

#### **Facts**

Rosemary Kovacs, administratrix of her deceased husband's estate, sued the Chesapeake & Ohio Railroad for wrongful death after her husband was killed by a train. A jury awarded \$995,000 in damages, later affirmed on appeal. The railroad paid the judgment in 1987, which included the damages, costs, and statutory interest from the filing of the complaint until payment, totaling \$2,254,741. 70. The Kovacs family did not report the interest on their federal income tax returns, leading to an IRS deficiency notice.

### **Procedural History**

The Kovacs family petitioned the U. S. Tax Court after the IRS determined deficiencies in their 1987 federal income taxes. The case involved consolidated petitions from Rosemary Kovacs and her daughters. The IRS conceded additions to tax under section 6661 but maintained the deficiencies were due to the taxability of the statutory interest received.

#### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether the statutory interest received by petitioners pursuant to Michigan Compiled Laws section 600. 6013 on damages awarded in a wrongful death action is excludable from gross income under IRC section 104(a)(2).
- 2. Whether petitioners may deduct the portion of their attorney's fees attributable to the interest if the interest is taxable.

# **Holding**

1. No, because the statutory interest is not considered "damages" under IRC section 104(a)(2) and is thus taxable as interest income.

2. Yes, because if the interest is taxable, the attorney's fees attributable to it are deductible under IRC section 212(1).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court distinguished between damages and interest, noting that IRC section 104(a)(2) excludes only "damages" received on account of personal injuries, not interest. The court applied a narrow interpretation of the statute, consistent with precedent, and held that statutory interest, calculated and added to the judgment, is separate from damages and thus taxable. The court referenced Michigan law, which treats statutory interest as distinct from damages, and historical precedent, such as Riddle v. Commissioner, which supported the taxability of interest. The majority rejected the argument that the 1982 amendment to IRC section 104(a)(2) changed this treatment. The dissenting opinions argued that the interest should be considered part of the damages and thus excludable, but the majority's view prevailed.

# **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that statutory interest on personal injury damages is taxable, affecting how such awards are structured and reported. Attorneys should advise clients to consider the tax implications of interest in settlement negotiations and plan accordingly for the tax treatment of any interest received. The ruling impacts how courts and litigants handle interest in personal injury and wrongful death cases, potentially influencing settlement strategies. Businesses facing such litigation may find it beneficial to settle promptly to minimize the accrual of taxable interest. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the distinction between damages and interest for tax purposes.