Allen v. Commissioner, 99 T. C. 475 (1992)

A taxpayer's ability to claim an overpayment is determined by the timing of the tax return filing relative to the claim for refund.

Summary

R. Dan Allen overpaid his 1987 taxes but did not file his return until after the IRS issued a notice of deficiency. The Tax Court held that Allen was not entitled to a refund because his claim was deemed filed on the date of the deficiency notice, which was before he filed his return. Thus, the applicable two-year look-back period under IRC section 6511(b)(2)(B) barred his claim since the overpayment occurred more than two years prior. This case emphasizes the critical timing of return filings in relation to refund claims and the strict application of statutory deadlines.

Facts

R. Dan Allen overpaid his 1987 federal income taxes, totaling $17,024. He requested an extension to file his 1987 return, which extended the deadline to August 15, 1988, and made a payment on April 15, 1988. Allen did not file his return by this extended deadline. On July 24, 1990, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency for 1987. Allen filed his 1987 return on October 2, 1990, and filed a petition with the Tax Court on October 22, 1990, claiming the overpayment.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency on July 24, 1990. Allen filed his 1987 tax return on October 2, 1990, and subsequently filed a petition with the United States Tax Court on October 22, 1990. The Tax Court reviewed the case and issued its opinion on October 6, 1992, denying Allen's claim for a refund.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Allen is entitled to a determination of overpayment under IRC section 6512(b)(1) when his claim for refund is deemed filed on the date of the notice of deficiency, which is before he filed his return?

Holding

1. No, because the applicable look-back period for determining the overpayment claim is two years under IRC section 6511(b)(2)(B), and Allen's overpayment occurred more than two years before the deemed filing date of the claim.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied IRC sections 6511 and 6512, which govern the timing and amount of tax refunds. The court noted that the claim for refund was deemed filed

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

on the date of the notice of deficiency, July 24, 1990, pursuant to section 6512(b)(3)(B). Since Allen did not file his return until October 2, 1990, the three-year look-back period under section 6511(b)(2)(A) did not apply. Instead, the two-year look-back period under section 6511(b)(2)(B) was applicable, and Allen's overpayment, which occurred on April 15, 1988, was outside this period. The court emphasized the plain language of the statute and its legislative history, which supported the decision that the three-year period begins when the return is filed, not when it is due. The court rejected Allen's argument that the statute should allow measurement from the due date of the return, as it contradicted the statutory language and legislative intent.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of timely filing tax returns to preserve the right to claim overpayments. Practitioners should advise clients to file returns promptly, even if an extension has been granted, to ensure access to the longer three-year look-back period for refunds. The ruling affects taxpayers who delay filing their returns, potentially leading to forfeiture of overpayment claims if the delay exceeds the two-year period. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, reinforcing the strict application of the statutory deadlines. Businesses and individuals must be aware of these rules to manage their tax liabilities and potential refunds effectively.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.