***Prince David, Inc. v. Commissioner, 94 T. C. 461 (1990)***

Net operating losses incurred by a corporation as a C corporation cannot be carried forward to offset income when the corporation is operating as an S corporation.

**Summary**

In Prince David, Inc. v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that net operating losses (NOLs) incurred by a corporation during its C corporation phase could not be used to offset income after the corporation elected S corporation status. The case involved Prince David, Inc. , which had accumulated NOLs during its C corporation years but sought to apply them to its 1984 income as an S corporation. The court held that under Internal Revenue Code section 1371(b)(1), such a carryover was prohibited, and the tax benefit rule did not apply to circumvent this prohibition. This decision underscores the distinct tax treatment of C and S corporations and the statutory limitations on NOL carryovers between these statuses.

**Facts**

Prince David, Inc. , a real estate development corporation, was formed in 1979 and operated as a C corporation until it elected S corporation status effective December 1, 1982. During its C corporation years, it incurred net operating losses totaling $353,773, primarily from construction carrying charges. In 1984, as an S corporation, it sold 13 condominium units and reported income of $46,268. The corporation sought to exclude $303,513 of the sale proceeds from income, arguing that it was a recovery of previously deducted expenses under the tax benefit rule.

**Procedural History**

The Commissioner determined a deficiency in petitioners' federal income tax for 1984, prompting Prince David, Inc. to file a petition with the Tax Court. The case was heard and decided by the Tax Court, which ruled in favor of the Commissioner.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether a net operating loss carryover generated by a subchapter C corporation may offset income in a later year when the same corporation is operating under subchapter S status.
2. Whether the tax benefit rule applies to allow the exclusion of the net operating loss from the S corporation's income.

**Holding**

1. No, because Internal Revenue Code section 1371(b)(1) expressly prohibits the carryforward of net operating losses from a C corporation to an S corporation.
2. No, because the tax benefit rule does not override the statutory prohibition in section 1371(b)(1) and the conditions for its application were not met in this case.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Internal Revenue Code section 1371(b)(1), which clearly states that no carryforward from a C corporation year may be carried to an S corporation year. This statutory provision is designed to prevent abuses of the S corporation election. The court rejected the petitioners’ attempt to use the tax benefit rule, as outlined in section 111, to circumvent this prohibition. The tax benefit rule allows for the exclusion of recovered amounts previously deducted without tax benefit, but the court found that the NOLs in question had produced tax benefits in earlier years and the sale of the condominiums was not fundamentally inconsistent with the premise of the earlier deductions. Furthermore, the court distinguished this case from Smyth v. Sullivan, noting that the activities of Prince David, Inc. as a C and S corporation were not an integrated transaction. The court emphasized that the statutory safeguards of the S corporation election, including section 1371(b)(1), were intended to prevent such tax planning strategies.

## Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that NOLs cannot be carried forward from C to S corporation years, impacting tax planning for corporations considering an S election. It reinforces the importance of understanding the statutory limitations on NOLs when transitioning between corporate tax statuses. Legal practitioners should advise clients to carefully consider the timing of such elections and the potential loss of NOL carryovers. This ruling also serves as a reminder of the limited application of the tax benefit rule in the context of corporate tax status changes. Subsequent cases, such as Hudspeth v. Commissioner, have further clarified the application of the tax benefit rule, emphasizing the need for a fundamental inconsistency between the original deduction and the later event for the rule to apply.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 