O’Reilly v. Commissioner, 95 T. C. 646 (1990)

Actuarial tables may be used to value gifts even when the retained income interest produces a much lower yield than assumed by the tables.

Summary

Charles and Alma O’Reilly created trusts with their closely held corporation’s stock, retaining the right to income for a term of years. The stock paid a minimal dividend, leading the IRS to argue that the actuarial tables should not be used for valuation due to the low yield. The Tax Court disagreed, holding that the tables should be used to determine the value of the gifts, as the transfers were unconditional future interests. The court emphasized the importance of administrative simplicity and neutrality in tax law application, distinguishing this case from others where no income was produced.

Facts

In 1985, Charles and Alma O’Reilly established trusts funded with O’Reilly Automotive, Inc. stock. The trusts allowed the O’Reillys to retain the income for specified terms (2 to 4 years), after which the stock would pass to their children. At the time of the transfer, each share was valued at $9,639. Historically, the stock paid small dividends, with yields around 0. 2% at the time of the gifts. The trustees had the power to retain the stock or sell and reinvest, but chose to hold the stock throughout the trust term.

Procedural History

The O’Reillys filed gift tax returns using actuarial tables to calculate the value of their retained income and the gifted remainder interests. The IRS challenged this valuation, asserting that the low dividend yield made the tables inapplicable and assessed deficiencies. The Tax Court heard the case and ruled in favor of the O’Reillys, affirming the use of the actuarial tables.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the actuarial tables can be used to value the O’Reillys’ gifts of remainder interests when the retained income interest has a significantly lower yield than assumed by the tables?

Holding

1. Yes, because the gifts were unconditional future interests and using the actuarial tables facilitates a simplified and neutral administration of tax laws, despite the low income yield of the retained interest.

Court’s Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The Tax Court held that the actuarial tables should be used for valuing the O'Reillys' gifts, despite the low yield of the stock. The court distinguished this case from others where no income was produced, noting that the O'Reilly stock did pay dividends, albeit small. The court emphasized that the actuarial tables are designed for ease of administration and to prevent manipulation by either taxpayers or the IRS. The court cited previous cases where attempts to deviate from the tables were rejected, reinforcing the principle that the tables should be used unless their application leads to an unreasonable result. The court also noted the importance of neutrality, as deviating from the tables could allow the IRS to inconsistently apply them in different scenarios. The O'Reillys' trusts were structured such that the income interest was retained and the remainder interest was gifted, which the court found to be a clear transfer of a future interest that could be valued using the tables.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that actuarial tables should generally be used for valuing gifts, even when the underlying asset has a low yield. For legal practitioners, this means that when structuring gifts with retained income interests, they can rely on these tables for valuation purposes unless the facts suggest an unreasonable result. The ruling reinforces the importance of administrative simplicity in tax law, discouraging attempts to deviate from standard valuation methods based on yield discrepancies. For businesses, particularly closely held corporations, this decision implies that they can plan estate and gift transactions using these tables without fear of IRS challenge based solely on low dividend yields. Subsequent cases have cited O'Reilly to support the use of actuarial tables in similar contexts, ensuring a consistent approach to gift valuation.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.