Hopper v. Commissioner, 94 T. C. 542 (1990)

Rental income and deductions from self-storage facilities are excluded from self-employment tax unless substantial services are provided to tenants.

Summary

In Hopper v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that income and expenses from a self-storage business are considered "rentals from real estate" under section 1402(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code and are thus excluded from self-employment tax calculations. Roger Hopper, a practicing attorney and partner in Sentry Associates, a self-storage rental business, sought to offset his share of the partnership's losses against his law practice income for self-employment tax purposes. The court held that the services provided by Sentry, such as pest control and the sale of packing materials, were not substantial enough to transform the rental income into self-employment income. This decision clarified the scope of the self-employment tax exclusion for rental income, impacting how similar businesses should report their income.

Facts

Roger Hopper was an attorney and a partner in Sentry Associates, which operated self-storage facilities in Richmond and Williamsburg, Virginia. Sentry's facilities included storage units rented on a month-to-month basis, with services such as pest control, contents insurance, and the sale of locks and packaging materials available to tenants. In 1982, Sentry reported gross rents of $47,404. 06 and rental expenses of $247,533, resulting in a partnership loss of $200,128. 94. Hopper's share of this loss was $50,032. 23. He attempted to offset this loss against his law practice income of $50,725. 90 when calculating his self-employment tax.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in Hopper's 1982 self-employment tax and issued a notice. Hopper petitioned the U. S. Tax Court to contest this determination. The court reviewed the case and issued its opinion on March 27, 1990, ruling in favor of the Commissioner.

Issue(s)

1. Whether income and deductions from a self-storage business constitute "rentals from real estate" under section 1402(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, thereby excluding them from self-employment tax calculations.

Holding

1. Yes, because the services provided by Sentry Associates were not substantial enough to be considered as rendered to the occupants within the meaning of section

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. 1402(a)-4(c)(2) of the Income Tax Regulations, and thus the income and deductions from the self-storage business were excluded from self-employment tax.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied section 1402(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code and section 1. 1402(a)-4 of the Income Tax Regulations, which exclude "rentals from real estate" from self-employment tax unless substantial services are provided to the occupants. The court determined that services such as pest control, contents insurance, and the sale of packaging materials were for the convenience of the tenants but not substantial enough to constitute a material part of the rental payments. The court relied on the precedent in *Delno v. Celebrezze* and *Bobo v. Commissioner*, which emphasized that services must be substantial to affect the classification of rental income. The court also noted that Sentry's services were minor and incidental to the primary business of renting storage space, and thus the income and deductions were properly excluded from self-employment tax calculations.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that income from self-storage facilities, where services are minimal and incidental to the rental of space, is excluded from self-employment tax. Legal practitioners advising clients in similar businesses should ensure that any services provided are carefully documented and assessed for their impact on tax reporting. Businesses must be cautious about the nature and extent of services offered, as offering substantial services could lead to the inclusion of rental income in self-employment tax calculations. This ruling may influence how self-storage and similar rental businesses structure their operations and report their income, potentially affecting business models and financial planning. Subsequent cases have continued to apply this principle, distinguishing between passive rental income and income derived from providing substantial services.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.