Blanton v. Commissioner, 94 T. C. 491 (1990)

A criminal conviction can collaterally estop a taxpayer from denying receipt of income in a subsequent tax case when the facts underlying the conviction are identical to those in the tax dispute.

Summary

In Blanton v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court held that Leonard Ray Blanton was collaterally estopped from denying receipt of $23,334. 50 in 1978 under circumstances violating the Hobbs Act, as established by his prior criminal conviction. Blanton, the former Governor of Tennessee, had been convicted of extortion for receiving payments from a liquor store owner in exchange for liquor licenses. The Tax Court applied the three-pronged test from Montana v. United States, finding that the issues were identical, no changes in law or facts had occurred, and no special circumstances warranted an exception to collateral estoppel. This decision underscores the binding effect of criminal convictions on subsequent tax litigation and the importance of the doctrine of collateral estoppel in preventing relitigation of issues.

Facts

Leonard Ray Blanton, former Governor of Tennessee, was indicted in 1981 for various offenses, including violation of the Hobbs Act and conspiracy to violate the Hobbs Act. The indictment alleged that Blanton received $23,334. 50 from Jack Ham, the owner of Donelson Pike Liquors, in exchange for two liquor licenses. This payment was made indirectly by Ham paying off a loan on Blanton’s behalf. Blanton was convicted on these counts, and his conviction was affirmed by the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. In a subsequent tax case, the IRS sought to include this $23,334. 50 as unreported income for Blanton in 1978.

Procedural History

In 1981, Blanton was convicted in the U. S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee on charges of violating the Hobbs Act and conspiracy to violate the Hobbs Act. The conviction was initially reversed by a three-judge panel of the Sixth Circuit but was later affirmed en banc. The U. S. Supreme Court denied certiorari in 1984. In the tax case, the IRS moved for partial summary judgment on the issue of whether Blanton was collaterally estopped from denying receipt of the $23,334. 50 as income.

Issue(s)

1. Whether Blanton is collaterally estopped from denying that he received $23,334. 50 in 1978 under circumstances which constituted a violation of the Hobbs Act.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. Yes, because the issues presented in the tax litigation were in substance the same as those in the criminal case, no significant changes in controlling facts or legal principles had occurred since the first action, and no special circumstances warranted an exception to the normal rules of preclusion.

Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the three-pronged test from Montana v. United States to determine the applicability of collateral estoppel. First, the court found that the issues were identical, as the amount of unreported income in question ($23,334. 50) was the same as the amount Blanton received in violation of the Hobbs Act. Second, no changes had occurred in the controlling facts or legal principles since the criminal conviction. Third, no special circumstances warranted an exception to the normal rules of preclusion. The court emphasized that the factual predicate underlying Blanton's conviction on the Hobbs Act count was necessary to the outcome of the criminal case and thus precluded relitigation in the tax case. The court quoted the District Court's jury instructions, which clarified that the payment of $23,334. 50 was understood by Blanton to be in satisfaction of an obligation to pay 20% of the profits of Donelson Pike Liquors.

Practical Implications

Blanton v. Commissioner has significant implications for tax practitioners and litigators. It establishes that a criminal conviction can have a direct impact on subsequent tax cases, particularly when the facts underlying the conviction are identical to those in the tax dispute. This case underscores the importance of the doctrine of collateral estoppel in preventing relitigation of issues, thereby conserving judicial resources and promoting consistency in legal outcomes. Practitioners should be aware that a taxpayer's criminal conviction may preclude them from contesting the receipt of income in a tax case, even if the conviction is for a non-tax offense. This decision has been applied in subsequent cases to support the use of collateral estoppel in tax litigation, such as in Meier v. Commissioner, where the Tax Court again used this doctrine to prevent relitigation of issues established in a prior criminal proceeding.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.