Levin Metals Corp. v. Commissioner, 92 T. C. 307 (1989)

Transportation equipment used to transfer waste material between different locations does not qualify for energy tax credits under the recycling equipment definition.

Summary

Levin Metals Corp. sought energy tax credits for transportation equipment used in their scrap metal recycling business. The court denied the credits, ruling that the equipment, used to transport scrap metal between collection sites and processing facilities, did not meet the statutory definition of recycling equipment under IRC §48(l)(6). The decision hinged on the requirement that recycling equipment be used exclusively for sorting, preparing, or recycling solid waste, and the legislative history and regulations clearly excluded transportation equipment used for transferring waste between geographically separated sites.

Facts

Levin Metals Corporation operated a recycling business, involving purchasing, sorting, processing, and selling scrap metals and other solid wastes. In 1979 and 1980, LMC purchased transportation equipment such as trucks, trailers, tractors, and piggyback rolloffs, which were used to transport scrap metal from collection sites to LMC's facilities in California and between these facilities. The equipment's use was primarily for transporting scrap metal within and between LMC's facilities (94% in 1979 and 64% in 1980) and secondarily for transporting scrap from collection sites to LMC's facilities (6% in 1979 and 36% in 1980).

Procedural History

Levin Metals Corp. filed a petition in the U. S. Tax Court after the Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed their claim for energy tax credits related to the transportation equipment. The case was submitted fully stipulated under Rule 122, and the Tax Court ruled on the eligibility of the transportation equipment for energy tax credits.

Issue(s)

1. Whether transportation equipment used by LMC to transport scrap metal qualifies as recycling equipment under IRC §48(l)(6), making it eligible for energy tax credits.

Holding

1. No, because the equipment was used for transporting scrap metal between collection sites and recycling facilities, which does not meet the statutory definition of recycling equipment as per IRC §48(l)(6) and its regulations.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Court’s Reasoning

The court’s decision was based on the statutory language of IRC §48(l)(6), which defines recycling equipment as that used exclusively for sorting, preparing, or recycling solid waste. The court emphasized the term “exclusively” and interpreted the words “sort” and “prepare” as not including the transportation of solid waste. The legislative history of the statute, specifically the Energy Tax Act of 1978 and related House and Senate Reports, explicitly excluded transportation equipment used to transfer waste between different locations from qualifying as recycling equipment. The court also upheld the validity of Treasury Regulation §1. 48-9(g), which further clarified that only on-site transportation equipment integral to the recycling process qualifies for the credit. The court rejected the petitioner’s argument against the retroactive application of the regulation, stating that the statutory provisions, as originally enacted, did not allow for such credits.

Practical Implications

This ruling clarifies the scope of energy tax credits for recycling equipment under IRC §48(l)(6), specifically excluding transportation equipment used to transfer waste between geographically separated sites. Legal practitioners advising clients in the recycling industry must ensure that equipment claimed for energy tax credits strictly meets the statutory definition of recycling equipment. Businesses in the recycling sector need to carefully assess their equipment’s use to determine eligibility for energy tax credits. This decision has been cited in subsequent cases dealing with similar issues, reinforcing the narrow interpretation of what constitutes recycling equipment for tax purposes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.