Huntsman v. Commissioner, 91 T. C. 917 (1988)

Points paid in refinancing a principal residence are not immediately deductible but must be amortized over the life of the loan.

Summary

The Huntsmans refinanced their home, paying $4,440 in points, which they claimed as a deduction in the year paid. The IRS disallowed the deduction, arguing that the points should be amortized over the loan's 30-year term. The Tax Court agreed, holding that the exception allowing immediate deduction of points applies only to loans used for purchasing or improving a home, not refinancing. The court's rationale was based on a narrow interpretation of the statutory language and legislative intent to limit the exception to specific circumstances.

Facts

In 1981, the Huntsmans purchased a home with a mortgage requiring a balloon payment in 1984. In 1982, they took out a second mortgage for home improvements. In 1983, they refinanced both loans into a 30-year mortgage, paying $4,440 in points from their own funds. They claimed these points as an interest expense deduction on their 1983 tax return.

Procedural History

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined a deficiency in the Huntsmans' 1983 taxes due to the disallowed deduction of the points. The Huntsmans petitioned the Tax Court for a redetermination of the deficiency. The Tax Court issued its opinion on November 17, 1988, affirming the Commissioner's position.

Issue(s)

1. Whether points paid in connection with refinancing a principal residence are immediately deductible under section 461(g)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. No, because the exception in section 461(g)(2) applies only to points paid for loans used to purchase or improve a principal residence, not for refinancing existing loans.

Court's Reasoning

The court interpreted the statutory language "in connection with the purchase or improvement" narrowly, relying on the legislative history indicating that the exception was meant to apply only to loans used for the actual purchase or improvement of a home. The court distinguished refinancing from these purposes,

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

noting that refinancing typically aims to lower interest costs or achieve other financial goals not directly related to home ownership. The court also considered that subsequent legislation treated refinancing specifically, suggesting that it was not covered by the earlier exception. Judge Ruwe dissented, arguing for a broader interpretation that would include refinancing if it was a foreseeable necessity at the time of purchase.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that points paid in refinancing a principal residence cannot be deducted immediately but must be amortized over the loan term. Taxpayers and practitioners must carefully consider the purpose of loan proceeds when claiming deductions for points. The ruling may impact homeowners' financial planning, as they will need to spread the tax benefit of points over many years. Subsequent cases have followed this precedent, although some have allowed immediate deductions for refinancing construction or "bridge" loans under specific circumstances.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.