O'Malley v. Commissioner, 91 T. C. 352 (1988)

Legal fees paid by a third party on behalf of an employee are includable in the employee's gross income but may be deductible as ordinary and necessary business expenses if related to the employee's trade or business.

Summary

Thomas O'Malley, a trucking company executive and unpaid trustee of a Teamsters' pension fund, was convicted of conspiring to bribe a senator to influence trucking deregulation legislation. The pension fund paid his legal fees, which the IRS included in his gross income. The Tax Court held that these fees were includable in O'Malley's income but deductible as business expenses because the bribery scheme was connected to his employment, which was threatened by deregulation. This case illustrates the tax treatment of third-party payments and the deductibility of legal expenses when they arise from business activities.

Facts

Thomas O'Malley served as an unpaid trustee of the Central States Pension Fund while employed as a director of labor relations at C. W. Transport Co. In 1981, O'Malley and others were indicted for conspiring to bribe Senator Howard Cannon to influence his vote on trucking deregulation. The pension fund paid O'Malley's legal fees for his unsuccessful defense, totaling $266,280. 55 in 1981 and $212,212. 34 in 1982. O'Malley did not report these payments on his tax returns, leading to a dispute with the IRS over their tax treatment.

Procedural History

The IRS determined deficiencies in O'Malley's 1981 and 1982 federal income taxes due to the unreported legal fee payments. O'Malley and his wife, Rita, petitioned the Tax Court to challenge the deficiencies. The court held that the legal fees were includable in O'Malley's gross income but were deductible as business expenses under Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the legal fees paid by the pension fund on O'Malley's behalf are includable in his gross income.
2. Whether O'Malley may deduct these legal fees as an ordinary and necessary business expense under Section 162(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Holding

1. Yes, because the payment of legal fees by a third party constitutes income to the recipient under the doctrine established in Old Colony Trust Co. v. Commissioner.
2. Yes, because the origin of the legal fees was directly connected to O'Malley's

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

employment in the trucking industry, which was threatened by the deregulation legislation he sought to influence.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied the principle that payments made by a third party on behalf of a taxpayer are taxable income. The court rejected O’Malley’s argument that the legal fees were the pension fund’s expense, noting that the fund was not a defendant and only indirectly affected by the outcome. The court distinguished this case from others where payments were not taxable due to a complete identity between the payer and the recipient, which was not present here. For deductibility, the court used the “origin and character of the claim” test from United States v. Gilmore, finding that O’Malley’s actions were closely tied to his employment with C. W. Transport Co. , which faced threats from deregulation. The court also cited Commissioner v. Tellier, affirming that legal fees from criminal defense are deductible if business-related, even if the underlying activity was illegal.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that third-party payments for legal fees are taxable income but can be deductible if they arise from business activities. Legal professionals should advise clients to report such payments and consider deductibility based on the connection to their trade or business. The case also highlights the importance of analyzing the origin of legal fees, not just their consequences, when determining deductibility. For businesses, especially those involved in unions or pension funds, this case underscores the potential tax implications of paying legal fees for employees or trustees. Subsequent cases have followed this ruling, reinforcing the principles of income inclusion and business expense deductions for legal fees.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.