Gussis v. Commissioner, T. C. Memo. 1989-276

An intercepted tax refund under section 6402(c) does not affect the validity of a subsequent deficiency determination for the same tax year.

Summary

In Gussis v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether the IRS's interception of a taxpayer's 1984 tax refund to offset past-due child support affected the validity of a later deficiency determination for the same year. The IRS had intercepted Gussis's refund of $848. 29, as allowed under section 6402(c), and subsequently determined a deficiency of $705 due to an increase in his taxable income. The court upheld the deficiency, ruling that the refund intercept did not alter the IRS's ability to later assess a deficiency. This case clarifies that intercepted refunds do not count as rebates under section 6211(b)(2), and thus do not reduce a deficiency to zero.

Facts

Gussis filed his 1984 tax return showing a tax liability of $2,455 and an overpayment of $848. 29, which he expected to receive as a refund. However, the IRS was notified by the Department of Health and Human Services that Gussis owed past-due child support to the State of Washington. Pursuant to section 6402(c), the IRS intercepted the full $848. 29 overpayment and remitted it to Washington. On April 6, 1987, the IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Gussis, determining a $705 deficiency for 1984 due to an increase in his taxable income by $2,017. Gussis agreed with the income adjustment but challenged the deficiency's validity due to the intercepted refund.

Procedural History

The case was assigned to Special Trial Judge James M. Gussis, who issued an opinion adopted by the Tax Court. The court considered the case based on stipulated facts and under Rule 122 of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the IRS's interception of Gussis's 1984 tax refund under section 6402(c) affects the validity of the subsequent deficiency determination for the same tax year?

Holding

1. No, because the intercept of a tax refund under section 6402(c) does not alter the IRS's authority to later determine a deficiency for the same tax year. The intercepted refund is not considered a rebate under section 6211(b)(2), and thus does not reduce the deficiency to zero.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court relied on the plain language of section 6402(c), which authorizes the IRS to reduce a taxpayer's overpayment by the amount of past-due child support. The court rejected Gussis's argument that the intercepted refund should be treated as a rebate under section 6211(b)(2), which would nullify the deficiency. The court cited Clark v. Commissioner and Owens v. Commissioner to support the principle that a deficiency can be determined even after a refund has been issued or intercepted. The court emphasized that the IRS's actions were in line with statutory requirements and did not constitute double taxation. The court also declined to consider Gussis's suggestions for better administration of the tax refund intercept provisions, stating that such matters were beyond the court's purview in the absence of unconstitutional conduct.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that intercepted tax refunds under section 6402(c) do not affect subsequent deficiency determinations. Practitioners should advise clients that an intercepted refund does not preclude the IRS from later assessing a deficiency for the same tax year. This ruling supports the IRS's authority to prioritize child support obligations over tax refunds, which has significant implications for taxpayers with past-due support obligations. The case also reinforces the principle that a deficiency can be assessed even after a refund has been issued or intercepted, which is crucial for understanding the IRS's audit and collection procedures. Subsequent cases like Sorenson v. Secretary of Treasury have further upheld the tax refund intercept law's constitutionality and priority over individual refund claims.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.