

***Estate of John T. Higgins, Deceased, Manufacturers National Bank of Detroit, Personal Representative, Petitioner v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 91 T. C. 61 (1988)***

A clear and unequivocal election is required on the estate tax return to treat property as qualified terminable interest property (QTIP) under Section 2056(b)(7).

**Summary**

John T. Higgins' will left his spouse a life estate in the residue of his estate, with the remainder to charities. The estate filed a tax return claiming both a marital and charitable deduction but did not elect QTIP treatment. The IRS disallowed the deductions, asserting no valid QTIP election was made. The Tax Court held that the executor did not make a valid QTIP election because the estate tax return explicitly stated "No" to the QTIP election question and did not mark the property as QTIP on Schedule M, despite the executor's later claim of intent to elect. This case underscores the necessity for clear manifestation of a QTIP election on the estate tax return to qualify for the marital deduction.

**Facts**

John T. Higgins died on April 29, 1982, leaving a will that provided his surviving spouse, Margaretta Higgins, with a life estate in the residue of his estate. The remainder was to be distributed to three charitable organizations upon her death. The executor, initially John R. Starrs and later Manufacturers National Bank of Detroit, filed an estate tax return claiming a marital deduction for the life estate and a charitable deduction for the remainder. The return answered "No" to the question about electing QTIP treatment under Section 2056(b)(7) and did not mark the property as QTIP on Schedule M.

**Procedural History**

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency disallowing the claimed deductions, asserting that no QTIP election was made. The executor petitioned the United States Tax Court, which upheld the IRS's determination that a valid QTIP election was not made on the estate tax return.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether the executor made a valid election to treat the life estate as qualified terminable interest property (QTIP) under Section 2056(b)(7).

**Holding**

1. No, because the estate tax return explicitly stated "No" to the QTIP election question and did not mark the property as QTIP on Schedule M, indicating no intent to elect QTIP treatment.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The Tax Court emphasized that an election under Section 2056(b)(7) requires a clear and unequivocal manifestation of intent on the estate tax return. The court cited previous cases that established the need for an affirmative intent to make the election, which was absent in this case. The court noted that the return's "No" answer to the QTIP election question, combined with the failure to mark the property as QTIP on Schedule M, directly contradicted any claim of intent to elect. The court rejected the executor's argument that the overall context of the return showed an intent to elect, stating that the election must be made at the time of filing and cannot be inferred or changed later. The court also highlighted the significant tax consequences of a QTIP election, which further justified the need for a clear election.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision reinforces the importance of precise and clear documentation when making a QTIP election. Estate planners and executors must ensure that the estate tax return accurately reflects any QTIP election by answering "Yes" to the election question and marking the property as QTIP on Schedule M. Failure to do so can result in the loss of the marital deduction, leading to higher estate taxes. This case also serves as a reminder that the IRS and courts will strictly enforce the requirement for a clear election, and post-filing claims of intent will not be considered. For estates with similar structures, this ruling underscores the need for careful planning and attention to detail in estate tax returns to maximize tax benefits.