### Lewis v. Commissioner, 90 T. C. 1044 (1988)

A party's failure to timely raise issues can result in the court's refusal to consider those issues, even if they were discussed informally with the opposing party.

## **Summary**

In Lewis v. Commissioner, the Tax Court denied the petitioners' attempt to introduce a new issue—a net operating loss carryback from 1978 to offset their 1977 tax liability—due to their failure to raise it in a timely manner. The case had been pending for over six years, and the petitioners had agreed to a stipulated decision in January 1987. Despite subsequent discussions with the IRS about the carryback, they did not formally amend their petition or comply with court orders. The court emphasized the importance of timely issue presentation and the impact of delays on the court's resources and other litigants, ultimately granting the IRS's motion to enter the previously stipulated decision.

#### **Facts**

In 1981, the IRS determined a deficiency in the petitioners' 1977 federal income taxes. The petitioners disputed this, leading to a trial set for January 1987. Before the trial, the parties reached a stipulated decision, which was entered by the court. Shortly after, the petitioners attempted to introduce a new issue: a net operating loss carryback from 1978. Although they discussed this with the IRS, they did not formally amend their petition or comply with court orders. The case was set for trial again in December 1987, but the petitioners were still unprepared to litigate the new issue and moved for a continuance, which was denied.

### **Procedural History**

The case was filed in the U. S. Tax Court in 1981. A stipulated decision was entered in January 1987. The petitioners moved to vacate this decision in February 1987, which was granted in March 1987. The case was set for trial in December 1987, but the petitioners did not comply with pre-trial orders and moved for a continuance, which was denied. The IRS then moved for entry of the previously stipulated decision.

### Issue(s)

1. Whether the petitioners can raise a new issue of net operating loss carryback from 1978 to offset their 1977 tax liability at this late stage of the litigation.

## Holding

1. No, because the petitioners failed to raise the issue in a timely manner and did not comply with court orders, resulting in prejudice to the IRS and imposition on the court.

# **Court's Reasoning**

The court's decision was based on the petitioners' failure to formally amend their petition and their non-compliance with court orders. The court noted that the petitioners were aware of the 1978 loss issue since 1981 but did not raise it until after a stipulated decision was entered. The court emphasized the importance of timely issue presentation to prevent prejudice to the opposing party and to conserve court resources. The court also considered the impact of delays on other litigants awaiting their turn for trial. The court cited previous cases where similar delays resulted in adverse rulings against the party causing the delay. The court concluded that the petitioners' actions were dilatory and that justice did not favor their position.

# **Practical Implications**

This case underscores the importance of timely raising issues in tax litigation. Practitioners must ensure that all relevant issues are included in the initial pleadings or formally amended in a timely manner. Failure to do so can result in the court refusing to consider those issues, even if they were informally discussed with the opposing party. This decision highlights the need for attorneys to comply with court orders and to be prepared for trial, as delays can have significant consequences, including the court's refusal to consider new issues. The case also serves as a reminder of the court's commitment to managing its docket efficiently and fairly, balancing the interests of all litigants.