

## ***Louisiana Land & Exploration Co. v. Commissioner, 93 T. C. 306 (1989)***

Percentage depletion under section 613 is available for sulphur derived from hydrogen sulfide extracted from oil and gas wells, as section 613A applies only to hydrocarbon fuels.

### **Summary**

In *Louisiana Land & Exploration Co. v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court determined whether sulphur derived from hydrogen sulfide extracted from oil and gas wells qualified for percentage depletion under section 613 of the Internal Revenue Code. The court held that sulphur was eligible for percentage depletion at a 22% rate, as provided in section 613(b)(1), and that section 613A, which limits percentage depletion for oil and gas, did not apply to non-hydrocarbon minerals like sulphur. The decision was based on the plain language of the statute, legislative history indicating that section 613A targeted hydrocarbon fuels, and the common usage of the term “natural gas.” This ruling has practical implications for how tax deductions are calculated for minerals extracted alongside oil and gas, affecting the economic incentives for independent producers and royalty owners.

### **Facts**

The Louisiana Land & Exploration Co. and its subsidiaries (LL&E) extracted hydrogen sulfide from oil and gas wells in the Jay Field. They chemically converted the hydrogen sulfide into elemental sulphur, which was then sold. LL&E claimed percentage depletion deductions on the sulphur income for the tax years 1979, 1981, and 1982. The Commissioner challenged these deductions, arguing that the sulphur was not eligible for percentage depletion under section 613 because it was derived from an oil and gas well, and thus should be subject to the limitations of section 613A.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner issued deficiency notices for the years in question, and LL&E timely filed petitions with the Tax Court. The court consolidated the cases and held hearings to determine whether LL&E was entitled to percentage depletion on the sulphur income. The parties stipulated that if the court found sulphur eligible for depletion under section 613, LL&E’s claimed deductions were correct.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether sulphur derived from hydrogen sulfide extracted from oil and gas wells is eligible for percentage depletion under section 613 of the Internal Revenue Code.
2. Whether section 613A, which limits percentage depletion for oil and gas wells, applies to non-hydrocarbon minerals like sulphur.

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the plain language of section 613(b)(1) specifically allows percentage depletion for sulphur at a 22% rate, and there is no limitation based on the source of the sulphur.
2. No, because section 613A was intended to limit percentage depletion only for hydrocarbon fuels produced from oil and gas wells, as evidenced by the legislative history and common usage of the term “natural gas. “

### **Court’s Reasoning**

The court relied on the plain language of section 613, which lists sulphur as eligible for percentage depletion at a 22% rate under section 613(b)(1). The court rejected the Commissioner’s argument that section 613(b)(7), which provides for depletion of “all other minerals” except those from oil and gas wells, applied to sulphur. The court noted that sulphur is explicitly mentioned in section 613(b)(1) and thus falls outside the scope of section 613(b)(7). The court also considered the legislative history of section 613A, which showed that Congress intended to limit percentage depletion only for hydrocarbon fuels due to concerns about profits and energy policy. The court cited *Commissioner v. Engle* to support its interpretation of “oil and gas wells” as referring to the hydrocarbons produced, not all minerals extracted from such wells. Furthermore, the court rejected the Commissioner’s argument that sulphur’s gross income could not be calculated at the well-mouth, citing the parties’ stipulation that the claimed deductions were correct if sulphur was eligible for depletion.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that non-hydrocarbon minerals, such as sulphur, extracted from oil and gas wells remain eligible for percentage depletion under section 613, unaffected by the limitations of section 613A. This ruling provides a significant tax incentive for independent producers and royalty owners to continue exploring and developing sour gas wells, as they can claim depletion deductions on non-hydrocarbon byproducts. The decision may influence how similar cases are analyzed, particularly in determining the applicability of section 613A to various minerals. It also underscores the importance of legislative history and statutory interpretation in tax law, affecting how practitioners approach depletion deductions. Subsequent cases involving percentage depletion for minerals from oil and gas wells will need to consider this ruling’s distinction between hydrocarbon fuels and other minerals.