

## ***Cincinnati Insurance Co. v. Commissioner, 92 T. C. 1183 (1989)***

Losses from reciprocal exchanges of mortgage loan participations can be recognized and deductible for tax purposes, even if the transactions are motivated solely by tax considerations, provided the exchanged assets are materially different.

### **Summary**

Cincinnati Insurance Co. engaged in reciprocal exchanges of 90-percent participations in mortgage loan portfolios with other savings and loan institutions on December 31, 1980. These transactions were designed to comply with FHLBB's Memorandum R-49, which allowed non-recognition of losses for regulatory accounting purposes but not for tax purposes. The issue was whether the losses from these transactions could be recognized and deducted for tax purposes. The Tax Court held that the losses were recognizable and deductible because the exchanged loan participations, though similar, were materially different due to different obligors and collateral. The decision underscores that tax-motivated transactions can still result in recognized losses if they involve a substantive change in the taxpayer's economic position.

### **Facts**

Cincinnati Insurance Co. , a state-chartered mutual savings association, conducted reciprocal exchanges of 90-percent participations in mortgage loan portfolios with other savings and loan institutions on December 31, 1980. These transactions were structured to meet the criteria set forth in FHLBB Memorandum R-49, which allowed savings and loan institutions to avoid reporting losses under regulatory accounting principles (RAP) while still claiming losses for tax purposes. The participations exchanged had different obligors and were secured by different residential properties. The transactions were solely motivated by the desire to recognize losses for tax purposes, resulting in significant tax refunds through net operating loss carrybacks.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in Cincinnati Insurance Co. 's federal corporate income tax for the years 1974 through 1980, primarily related to the disallowance of the losses claimed from the December 31, 1980, transactions. Cincinnati Insurance Co. challenged these deficiencies in the U. S. Tax Court, which reviewed the case and issued its opinion on May 16, 1989.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether the December 31, 1980, transactions were sales or exchanges?
2. Whether Cincinnati Insurance Co. realized recognizable losses from the December 31, 1980, transactions?
3. If so, whether Cincinnati Insurance Co. may deduct those losses for tax purposes?

## **Holding**

1. No, because the transactions were interdependent and structured to comply with Memorandum R-49, they were considered exchanges rather than independent sales.
2. Yes, because the exchanged loan participations were materially different due to different obligors and collateral, Cincinnati Insurance Co. realized recognizable losses.
3. Yes, because the losses were realized and the transactions were bona fide, Cincinnati Insurance Co. may deduct those losses for tax purposes.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court applied the realization and recognition principles under section 1001 of the Internal Revenue Code, determining that the transactions constituted exchanges rather than sales due to their interdependence and compliance with Memorandum R-49. The court rejected the Commissioner's argument that the exchanged assets were not materially different, citing the different obligors and collateral as key distinctions. The court emphasized that the transactions were bona fide and resulted in a substantive change in Cincinnati Insurance Co.'s economic position, as evidenced by the different performance of the exchanged loan participations post-transaction. The court also noted that the tax-motivated nature of the transactions did not preclude loss recognition, as long as the transactions were real and resulted in a material change in the taxpayer's position. The court distinguished this case from others where no material change occurred, such as in *Shoenberg v. Commissioner* and *Horne v. Commissioner*, where taxpayers ended up with essentially the same assets before and after the transactions.

## **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that tax-motivated reciprocal exchanges of loan participations can result in recognizable and deductible losses if the exchanged assets are materially different. Practitioners should carefully assess the differences in the underlying assets when structuring such transactions. The ruling may encourage savings and loan institutions to engage in similar transactions to recognize losses for tax purposes while avoiding regulatory accounting losses. However, it also highlights the importance of ensuring that the transactions are bona fide and result in a substantive change in the taxpayer's economic position. Subsequent cases, such as *Centennial Savings Bank FSB v. United States*, have distinguished this ruling based on the specific facts and the material differences in the exchanged assets. This case continues to be relevant in analyzing the tax treatment of reciprocal exchanges in the financial industry.