

## ***Stanley O. Miller Charitable Fund v. Commissioner, 87 T. C. 365 (1986)***

Capital losses cannot be deducted when calculating a private foundation's undistributed income for the purpose of the excise tax under section 4942(a).

### **Summary**

In *Stanley O. Miller Charitable Fund v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court addressed whether capital losses could reduce the undistributed income of a private foundation subject to excise taxes under IRC section 4942(a). The court held that neither long-term nor short-term capital losses could be considered in calculating the foundation's adjusted net income for this purpose. This decision was grounded in the statutory language of section 4942, which specifies that only net short-term capital gains are taken into account. The court also rejected the foundation's constitutional challenges to the tax, affirming its validity as a legitimate exercise of Congress's taxing power.

### **Facts**

Stanley O. Miller Charitable Fund, a private foundation established in 1953, faced excise tax deficiencies under IRC section 4942(a) for the taxable years ending September 30, 1981 through 1984. The foundation incurred a net short-term capital loss of \$212,741 and a net long-term capital loss of \$188,214 in 1982. It argued that these losses should reduce its undistributed income for the purpose of calculating the section 4942(a) tax. The foundation also challenged the constitutionality of the tax on several grounds.

### **Procedural History**

The case was heard by the United States Tax Court, where the foundation sought to have its capital losses considered in determining its liability for excise taxes under section 4942(a). The court reviewed the statutory provisions and the foundation's constitutional arguments to reach its decision.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether, in computing undistributed income under section 4942(a), the amount thereof should be reduced for long-term capital losses and for short-term capital losses in excess of capital gains?
2. Whether the section 4942(a) tax violates various provisions of the United States Constitution?

### **Holding**

1. No, because section 4942(f)(2)(B) specifies that only net short-term capital gains are taken into account in computing adjusted net income, and no adjustment is provided for long-term capital gains or losses.

2. No, because the section 4942(a) tax is a valid exercise of Congress's taxing power, and it does not violate the Constitution's provisions on direct taxes, due process, or the Sixteenth Amendment.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court relied on the plain language of section 4942, which excludes capital losses from the computation of adjusted net income for the purpose of the excise tax. The court noted that Congress designed section 4942 to ensure that private foundations distribute their income annually, addressing the perceived abuse of tax-exempt status by foundations investing in assets that appreciate without generating current income. The court rejected the foundation's argument that Congress failed to distinguish between trusts and corporations, stating that the statutory remedy was equally applicable to both. The court also dismissed the foundation's constitutional challenges, citing Supreme Court precedents that upheld taxes with regulatory purposes and affirmed the section 4942 tax as an excise tax not subject to apportionment. The court emphasized that the tax was a legitimate exercise of Congress's power to regulate the use of tax-exempt status by private foundations.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision clarifies that private foundations must calculate their undistributed income for section 4942(a) tax purposes without considering capital losses, ensuring that they meet their annual distribution requirements. Legal practitioners advising private foundations should be aware of this rule when planning distributions and calculating potential tax liabilities. The ruling also reaffirms the constitutionality of excise taxes designed to regulate tax-exempt entities, impacting how similar taxes may be structured and defended in future cases. Foundations should consider the implications of investing in assets that may generate losses, as these cannot offset their distributable amount under section 4942.