## Sherwood Properties, Inc. v. Commissioner, 89 T. C. 651 (1987)

Advances from a controlled foreign corporation to a U. S. shareholder are taxable as U. S. property unless they fall within specific statutory exceptions.

## **Summary**

In Sherwood Properties, Inc. v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court ruled that advances made by a Canadian subsidiary to its U.S. parent were taxable under U.S. law as investments in U. S. property. The court found that these advances did not qualify for the statutory exceptions that would render them non-taxable. Additionally, the court held that the amalgamation of two Canadian subsidiaries required a ruling under Section 367, which was not obtained, resulting in taxable treatment of the stock exchange. This case underscores the importance of understanding and complying with tax regulations regarding foreign corporate transactions and investments.

#### **Facts**

Freedland Industries Corp. (Freedland) owned 89% of Freedland Ltd. and 50. 01% of Huron Steel Products Co. Ltd. (Huron), both Canadian corporations. Sherwood Properties, Inc. (Sherwood) owned the remaining 49. 99% of Huron. In June 1977, Huron sold its assets for \$1 million. Subsequently, in July and August 1977, Huron advanced \$500,000 to Freedland, which Freedland treated as a loan payable, repaid in 1979. On December 15, 1977, shareholders approved the amalgamation of Freedland Ltd. and Huron, effective December 31, 1977. No ruling was requested under Section 367 regarding this amalgamation.

### **Procedural History**

The Commissioner of Internal Revenue determined deficiencies in the federal income tax returns of Sherwood and Freedland for the taxable years ended June 30, 1978, and June 30, 1976, respectively. The case was heard by the U. S. Tax Court, which ruled in favor of the Commissioner on both the issue of the advances and the amalgamation.

#### Issue(s)

1. Whether advances from Huron to Freedland constituted an investment in U. S. property under Section 956(b) and were taxable to petitioners under Section 951(a). 2. Whether there was an exchange pursuant to the amalgamation of Freedland Ltd. and Huron that began before January 1, 1978, requiring a ruling under Section 367(d).

## Holding

1. Yes, because the advances did not meet the exceptions under Section 956(b)(2)(C)

or Section 1. 956-2(d)(2)(ii)(a), Income Tax Regs., and were therefore taxable as U. S. property.

2. Yes, because the exchange pursuant to the amalgamation began before January 1, 1978, necessitating a ruling under Section 367(a), which was not obtained, making the exchange taxable under Section 1248.

## **Court's Reasoning**

The court determined that the advances were an obligation of a U.S. person under Section 956(b)(1)(C), thus constituting U. S. property. The court rejected the argument that the advances were ordinary and necessary for maintaining steel allocations, citing insufficient evidence. Additionally, the court found that the advances were not repaid within one year, failing to meet the exception under Section 1. 956-2(d)(2)(ii)(a). Regarding the amalgamation, the court concluded it began before January 1, 1978, triggering the transitional rule under Section 367(d). The absence of a ruling request under Section 367(a) led to the taxable treatment of the stock exchange under Section 1248. The court emphasized that these rules aim to prevent tax avoidance through the use of controlled foreign corporations.

# **Practical Implications**

This decision highlights the stringent requirements for classifying advances as nontaxable U. S. property and the necessity of obtaining a ruling for certain foreign corporate reorganizations. Legal practitioners must ensure thorough documentation and justification for advances to meet statutory exceptions. The case also underscores the need for timely ruling requests under Section 367 to avoid unintended tax consequences. Businesses with foreign subsidiaries should carefully plan and document transactions to comply with U. S. tax laws, as failure to do so can lead to significant tax liabilities.