508 Clinton St. Corp. v. Commissioner, 89 T. C. 352 (1987)

The U. S. Tax Court lacks jurisdiction to consider interest abatement issues under I. R. C. § 6404(e) before the assessment of interest.

Summary

In 508 Clinton St. Corp. v. Commissioner, the Tax Court addressed whether it had jurisdiction over a taxpayer's request for interest abatement on personal holding company tax deficiencies under I. R. C. § 6404(e). The taxpayer argued that the IRS's delay in issuing a required form led to unnecessary interest accrual. The court held that it lacked jurisdiction to consider interest abatement until after the interest is assessed, which cannot occur until the court's decision on the underlying tax deficiencies becomes final. This decision underscores the jurisdictional limits of the Tax Court regarding interest issues and emphasizes the timing of when such issues can be addressed.

Facts

508 Clinton Street Corp. conceded deficiencies in personal holding company taxes for fiscal years ending September 30, 1979, and September 30, 1982. The corporation sought abatement of interest on these deficiencies, arguing that the IRS's delay in issuing a Determination of Liability for Personal Holding Company Tax, Form 2198, violated I. R. C. § 6404(e), which allows for abatement of interest attributable to IRS errors or delays in performing ministerial acts.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency on December 6, 1985, determining deficiencies in the corporation's personal holding company tax. The taxpayer filed a petition with the Tax Court, challenging the interest assessments related to these deficiencies. The issue of interest abatement under § 6404(e) was raised in the parties' trial memoranda.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the U. S. Tax Court has jurisdiction to consider a taxpayer's request for interest abatement under I. R. C. § 6404(e) prior to the assessment of interest.

Holding

1. No, because the Tax Court's jurisdiction does not extend to interest abatement issues until after the interest is assessed, which can only occur once the court's decision on the underlying deficiencies becomes final.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The Tax Court reasoned that its jurisdiction is strictly defined by statute and does not generally extend to interest issues. The court highlighted that § 6404(e) applies only after an assessment of interest has been made, which cannot occur until the court's decision becomes final. The court rejected the taxpayer's argument that interest abatement should be treated as part of the deficiency, as § 6404(e) does not operate until after an assessment. Furthermore, the court noted its inability to grant equitable relief for interest abatement due to its limited jurisdiction. The legislative history of § 6404(e) did not indicate an intent to confer jurisdiction on the Tax Court for such matters before an assessment.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that taxpayers must wait until after the Tax Court's final decision on the underlying tax deficiencies before pursuing interest abatement under § 6404(e). Practitioners should advise clients to file for abatement with the IRS after the court's decision becomes final. This ruling also underscores the importance of understanding the jurisdictional limits of the Tax Court and may encourage legislative action to clarify or expand the court's jurisdiction over interest issues. Future cases may reference this decision when addressing similar jurisdictional questions, and it serves as a reminder of the procedural steps required in tax litigation involving interest abatement.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.