Money v. Commissioner, 89 T. C. 46 (1987)

The mitigation provisions of IRC sections 1311 through 1314 require a final determination to be applicable.

Summary

In Money v. Commissioner, the Tax Court held that the mitigation provisions of IRC sections 1311 through 1314 could not be applied without a final determination as defined by section 1313(a). Danny Money, a police officer, received a $10,000 lump-sum payment for converting his pension benefits and sought to use the mitigation provisions to correct past tax returns. The court emphasized that without a final decision from a court or a similar qualifying determination, the mitigation provisions could not be invoked, thus rejecting Money's claim for a refund on prior years' taxes.

Facts

Danny K. Money, a first-class police officer in Lafayette, Indiana, participated in the 1925 Police Pension Fund, which required contributions of 6% of his salary. In 1980, he received a $10,000 lump-sum payment for converting his pension benefits from the 1925 plan to the 1977 plan. Money reported this payment as a long-term capital gain on his 1980 tax return, claiming a cost basis of the total contributions made to the 1925 plan. The Commissioner determined a deficiency, asserting the payment should be treated as ordinary income. Money conceded this but sought to apply the mitigation provisions to correct prior tax returns.

Procedural History

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency for Money's 1980 tax year, asserting a deficiency and an addition to tax for negligence. Money petitioned the U. S. Tax Court, conceding the treatment of the lump-sum payment as ordinary income but seeking to apply the mitigation provisions for prior years. The court addressed the applicability of these provisions without a final determination.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the mitigation provisions of IRC sections 1311 through 1314 apply without a final determination as defined by section 1313(a).

Holding

1. No, because the mitigation provisions require a final determination, which had not occurred in this case.

Court's Reasoning

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

The court emphasized that the mitigation provisions aim to correct errors that would otherwise be barred by the statute of limitations. However, section 1313(a) defines a determination as a final decision by a court or other qualifying action. The court noted that no such final determination had been made in Money's case, as the Tax Court decision was not yet final. The court cited section 7481, which states that a Tax Court decision becomes final after 90 days if not appealed. The court concluded that without a final determination, the mitigation provisions could not be invoked, rejecting Money's claim for a refund on prior years' taxes. The court also allowed Money to deduct contributions improperly included in his 1980 income, as conceded by the Commissioner.

Practical Implications

This decision underscores the importance of a final determination for invoking the mitigation provisions. Attorneys and taxpayers must ensure that a qualifying determination has been made before seeking to correct past tax errors under these provisions. The case highlights the need for careful consideration of the timing and nature of legal actions related to tax disputes. For similar cases, practitioners should advise clients on the necessity of pursuing a final decision to utilize the mitigation provisions effectively. The ruling also serves as a reminder of the stringent requirements for applying these provisions, affecting how tax professionals approach the statute of limitations and the correction of past tax errors.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.