

## ***Tallal v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 1192 (1987)***

Discovery in tax court litigation does not constitute a second inspection under IRC section 7605(b), and an overstated charitable contribution carryover can trigger increased interest rates.

### **Summary**

In *Tallal v. Commissioner*, the Tax Court ruled that discovery related to a 1979 tax year case did not violate the prohibition on a second IRS inspection for the 1980 tax year under IRC section 7605(b). The petitioners had donated bandages to the American Red Cross in 1979 and claimed a carryover deduction for 1980, which was disallowed due to an overvaluation determined in a prior case. The court also held that the overstated value of the carryover constituted a valuation overstatement, subjecting the petitioners to increased interest rates under IRC section 6621(c). This case clarifies the boundaries of IRS inspections and the consequences of valuation overstatements in charitable deductions.

### **Facts**

In 1979, Joseph J. Tallal, Jr. , and Peggy P. Tallal donated a large quantity of government surplus bandages to the American Red Cross, claiming a charitable contribution deduction of \$45,600. They utilized \$27,650 of this deduction in 1979, claiming a carryover of \$17,950 to 1980. The IRS had previously determined in *Tallal I* (T. C. Memo 1986-548) that the actual value of the bandages was only \$4,211, thus disallowing any carryover to 1980. In the current case, the IRS sought to increase the deficiency and addition to tax for 1980 based on this disallowed carryover and argued that the petitioners were subject to increased interest due to a valuation overstatement.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency for the 1980 tax year on March 21, 1984, without adjusting the claimed charitable contribution carryover. In preparation for litigation involving the 1979 tax year (docket Nos. 28975-82 and 28976-82), the IRS obtained documents via a subpoena duces tecum. After reviewing the 1979 tax return and the documents, the IRS amended its answer to include an increased deficiency and addition to tax for 1980, reflecting the disallowed carryover. The Tax Court ruled in favor of the IRS, upholding the increased deficiency and addition to tax, and applying increased interest rates due to the valuation overstatement.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether petitioners are entitled to a charitable contribution deduction carryover in the amount of \$17,950 from 1979 to 1980.
2. Whether the IRS's use of information obtained through discovery for the 1979 tax year constitutes an unauthorized second inspection under IRC section 7605(b) for

the 1980 tax year.

3. Whether petitioners are subject to increased interest rates under IRC section 6621(c) due to a valuation overstatement.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the value of the donated bandages was determined to be \$4,211 in Tallal I, which was fully utilized in 1979, leaving no carryover for 1980.

2. No, because the discovery process in the 1979 litigation did not constitute a second inspection under IRC section 7605(b) for the 1980 tax year.

3. Yes, because the claimed carryover deduction exceeded 150% of the correct value, triggering increased interest rates under IRC section 6621(c).

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court reasoned that the petitioners were not entitled to a carryover deduction because the full value of the donation was used in 1979. Regarding the second inspection issue, the court clarified that IRC section 7605(b) was intended to prevent harassment by multiple inspections, not to restrict discovery in litigation. The court cited cases like *Benjamin v. Commissioner* and *United States v. Powell* to support that examining returns in possession does not constitute a second inspection. The court also found that the increased deficiency and addition to tax for 1980 were properly asserted based on the 1979 return, not the discovery documents. Finally, the court determined that the overstated carryover constituted a valuation overstatement under IRC section 6659(c), subjecting the petitioners to increased interest under IRC section 6621(c).

### **Practical Implications**

This decision underscores the importance of accurate valuation in charitable contributions and the potential consequences of overvaluation, including disallowed deductions and increased interest rates. It also clarifies that discovery in tax court litigation does not violate the IRS's prohibition on second inspections, allowing the IRS to use discovered information to adjust deficiencies for other tax years. Practitioners should advise clients on the risks of overvaluing charitable contributions and the importance of substantiating deductions. This case may influence future IRS audits and legal strategies in similar disputes, emphasizing the need for thorough documentation and understanding of IRS procedures.