

## ***Kahle v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 1063 (1987)***

A legible postmark on an envelope is conclusive evidence of the mailing date for purposes of determining timely filing under IRC section 7502.

### **Summary**

In *Kahle v. Commissioner*, the U. S. Tax Court held that a postmark on an envelope containing a petition is conclusive evidence of the mailing date under IRC section 7502, regardless of whether the postmark was made by the U. S. Postal Service or a private postage meter. The court dismissed the case for lack of jurisdiction because the postmark on the envelope indicated it was mailed one day after the statutory deadline. This decision underscores the importance of the postmark in establishing the timeliness of mailed documents and emphasizes that no other evidence can contradict a legible postmark date.

### **Facts**

Richard Leroy Kahle received a statutory notice of deficiency from the IRS on April 3, 1985. He had 90 days, until July 2, 1985, to file a petition with the U. S. Tax Court. Kahle's petition was received by the court on July 17, 1985. The envelope containing the petition bore a clearly legible postmark of July 3, 1985, one day after the deadline. Kahle claimed the petition was mailed on July 2, but could not produce evidence to support this claim.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS moved to dismiss the case for lack of jurisdiction due to untimely filing. The U. S. Tax Court reviewed the motion and considered Kahle's response and a statement from his attorney's firm, which suggested the petition was mailed on time. The court focused on the postmark date and dismissed the case for lack of jurisdiction.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether a legible postmark on an envelope is conclusive evidence of the mailing date for purposes of IRC section 7502?

### **Holding**

1. Yes, because the clear language of IRC section 7502 and relevant case law establish that a legible postmark is conclusive evidence of the mailing date, precluding any contradictory evidence.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court's decision was based on the interpretation of IRC section 7502, which

states that the date of the U. S. postmark on the envelope is deemed the date of delivery. The court found that this rule applies whether the postmark was made by the U. S. Postal Service or a private postage meter. The court cited *Shipley v. Commissioner* and other cases to support the principle that a legible postmark is conclusive and cannot be contradicted by other evidence. The court also noted that if Kahle had used certified mail with a retained receipt showing a timely mailing date, the result might have been different. However, since no such receipt was produced, the postmark date of July 3, 1985, was controlling, and the petition was deemed untimely filed.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision reinforces the importance of the postmark in establishing the timeliness of mailed documents under IRC section 7502. Taxpayers and practitioners must ensure that documents are properly postmarked before the deadline, as the postmark date is conclusive. The use of certified mail with a retained receipt can provide a safeguard against disputes over the mailing date. This case has been cited in subsequent cases to affirm the conclusive nature of postmarks and has influenced the practice of tax law by emphasizing the need for careful attention to mailing procedures.