***Estate of Al J. Schneider, Donald J. Schneider, et al. , Personal Representatives, and Agnes Schneider, Petitioners v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, Respondent, 88 T. C. 906 (1987)***

Under the step-transaction doctrine, transfers of stock from a shareholder to employees via a corporation may be treated as redemptions rather than sales, impacting their tax treatment.

**Summary**

Estate of Schneider involved a stock bonus plan where employees of a group of affiliated companies could elect to receive bonuses in stock. The stock was ostensibly purchased from Al Schneider, the controlling shareholder, using checks issued by the corporation and endorsed to him. The IRS argued that these transactions were redemptions of Al's stock by the corporation rather than sales to the employees. The Tax Court agreed, applying the step-transaction doctrine, and determined that the redemptions were essentially equivalent to dividends, taxable to Al as ordinary income rather than capital gains.

**Facts**

In 1974 and 1975, American National Corp. (ANC), a holding company controlled by Al Schneider, implemented a stock bonus plan for employees of its subsidiaries. Employees could elect to receive part of their bonuses in ANC Class B nonvoting stock. The corporation issued two checks to each participating employee: one for the cash portion and another, restrictively endorsed to Al, representing the stock's book value. Employees endorsed these checks to Al in exchange for the stock, which was subject to a vesting schedule. Al's stock certificates were canceled and reissued to the employees.

**Procedural History**

The IRS issued deficiency notices to Al and Agnes Schneider for 1975 and 1976, asserting that the stock transactions should be treated as redemptions and taxed as dividends. The Schneiders petitioned the U. S. Tax Court. After trial, the court ruled in favor of the IRS, holding that the transactions were redemptions and not sales, resulting in dividend treatment.

**Issue(s)**

1. Whether the dispositions of stock by Al Schneider to employees under the ANC stock bonus plan constituted sales or redemptions.
2. If the dispositions were redemptions, whether they were essentially equivalent to dividends.
3. Alternatively, whether the dispositions were taxable under section 83 of the Internal Revenue Code.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 

## Holding

1. No, because the transactions were not sales but redemptions, as the employees were mere conduits for the funds, and the stock was effectively redeemed by ANC from Al.
2. Yes, because the redemptions were essentially equivalent to dividends due to the minimal reduction in Al's control of ANC post-redemption.
3. Not applicable, as the court found the transactions to be redemptions under the step-transaction doctrine, making section 83 analysis unnecessary.

## Court's Reasoning

The Tax Court applied the step-transaction doctrine, concluding that the transactions were mutually interdependent steps leading to the redemption of Al's stock by ANC. The court found that the issuance of checks to employees, which were immediately endorsed to Al, was a meaningless incident in the overall transaction. The court emphasized that the employees had no negotiating power over the terms of the stock transfer, and the stock certificates issued to employees were subject to the ANC Plan's restrictions, not Al's. The court also noted that the redemptions did not meaningfully reduce Al's control over ANC, as his voting power remained unchanged and his overall ownership decreased only slightly. The court rejected the argument that the transactions were sales, as the form chosen by the Schneiders did not reflect the substance of what occurred.

## Practical Implications

This decision impacts how stock bonus plans involving shareholder stock should be structured and analyzed for tax purposes. It emphasizes the importance of substance over form, warning that transactions designed to appear as sales may be recharacterized as redemptions if they lack arm's-length bargaining and serve as a means to distribute corporate funds to shareholders. Legal practitioners must carefully design such plans to avoid unintended tax consequences, particularly in closely held corporations where control is concentrated. The case has been cited in subsequent decisions to support the application of the step-transaction doctrine in similar contexts, reinforcing the IRS's ability to challenge the tax treatment of transactions based on their economic reality rather than their legal form.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved. 