Bailey v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 900 (1987)

State sales taxes paid by consumers are deductible under IRC § 164(a)(4), even when the taxes are incurred in connection with the purchase of business assets.

Summary

In Bailey v. Commissioner, the Tax Court ruled that California sales taxes paid by the Baileys on two boats purchased for their boat rental business were deductible under IRC § 164(a)(4). The court determined that the legal incidence of the California sales tax fell on the consumer, following the Ninth Circuit's ruling in United States v. California State Board of Equalization. This decision allowed the Baileys to deduct the sales taxes they paid, despite using the boats for business purposes, emphasizing that the deductibility of state sales taxes hinges on whether the tax's legal incidence is on the consumer, not on the use of the purchased asset.

Facts

Walter and Mary Bailey purchased two boats for their boat rental business: a 25-foot boat in 1979 for $26,990 plus $1,754 in California sales tax, and a one-half interest in another 25-foot boat in 1980 for $49,500 plus $3,217. 50 in sales tax. Both sales taxes were separately stated on the purchase invoices. The Baileys deducted these taxes on their 1979 and 1980 tax returns, but the IRS denied the deductions, arguing that since the boats were business assets, the taxes had to be capitalized as part of the boats' cost.

Procedural History

The Baileys petitioned the U. S. Tax Court after the IRS disallowed their deductions for California sales taxes on the boats. The case was submitted fully stipulated, and the court's decision was based on the legal incidence of the California sales tax as determined by prior case law.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the California sales taxes paid by the Baileys on the boats used for their business are deductible under IRC § 164(a)(4).

Holding

1. Yes, because the legal incidence of the California sales tax falls on the consumer, making the taxes deductible under IRC § 164(a)(4) regardless of the business use of the purchased property.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied the principle from Golsen v. Commissioner, which requires the

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Tax Court to follow the law of the circuit to which the case is appealable. Here, the Ninth Circuit had previously ruled in United States v. California State Board of Equalization that the legal incidence of the California sales tax was on the consumer. The court rejected the IRS's argument that this ruling was limited to specific circumstances, finding it applicable to the Baileys' situation. The court noted that IRC § 164(a)(4) allows a deduction for state sales taxes paid by the consumer, and the Ninth Circuit's ruling confirmed that the California sales tax was indeed imposed on the consumer. Therefore, the Baileys were entitled to deduct the sales taxes they paid on the boats, even though the boats were used for business purposes.

Practical Implications

This decision clarified that the deductibility of state sales taxes under IRC § 164(a)(4) depends on the legal incidence of the tax, not on whether the purchased item is used for business. Taxpayers in states where the sales tax is deemed to fall on the consumer can deduct these taxes even when the purchase is for a business asset, simplifying tax planning and potentially reducing tax liabilities for businesses. This ruling has influenced subsequent cases and tax guidance, affirming that the legal incidence of a state sales tax is a critical factor in determining its deductibility. It also underscores the importance of understanding state tax laws and their interaction with federal tax provisions for effective tax management.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.