

## ***Shiloh Youth Revival Centers v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 565 (1987)***

The income from a tax-exempt organization's business activities is taxable as unrelated business income if the activities are not substantially related to the organization's exempt purposes and if the work is performed with compensation.

### **Summary**

Shiloh Youth Revival Centers, a tax-exempt religious organization, engaged in various business activities including forestry, cleaning, painting, and donated labor. The IRS challenged the tax-exempt status of the income from these activities, arguing they were unrelated to Shiloh's exempt purposes. The Tax Court held that these activities were not substantially related to Shiloh's exempt purposes of rehabilitation, religious training, worship, and evangelism. Furthermore, the court determined that the work was performed with compensation, thus not falling under the exception for businesses operated without compensation. The decision underscores the importance of the conduct of business activities being causally related to an exempt organization's purposes and the broad definition of compensation in determining tax liability.

### **Facts**

Shiloh Youth Revival Centers, a religious organization, operated numerous centers across the U. S. and engaged in business activities such as forestry, cleaning and maintenance, painting, and donated labor to generate income. Members of Shiloh worked in these businesses, receiving various monetary and nonmonetary benefits in return. These activities were managed and supervised by Shiloh's staff, with the organization emphasizing full employment and revenue generation. The IRS challenged the tax-exempt status of the income from these activities, asserting that they were unrelated to Shiloh's exempt purposes.

### **Procedural History**

The IRS issued a notice of deficiency to Shiloh Youth Revival Centers for the years 1977 and 1978, asserting that income from its business activities should be taxed as unrelated business income. Shiloh contested this determination before the United States Tax Court, which heard the case and rendered its decision on March 12, 1987.

### **Issue(s)**

1. Whether Shiloh's business activities were substantially related to its exempt purposes.
2. Whether substantially all of the work in carrying on Shiloh's businesses was performed without compensation.

### **Holding**

1. No, because the conduct of Shiloh's businesses did not have a substantial causal relationship to its exempt purposes of rehabilitation, religious training, worship, and evangelism.
2. No, because Shiloh's members received substantial monetary and nonmonetary benefits in exchange for their work, constituting compensation.

### **Court's Reasoning**

The court focused on the conduct of Shiloh's businesses, emphasizing that for activities to be substantially related to exempt purposes, the conduct itself must contribute importantly to those purposes. The court found that Shiloh's business operations were primarily geared towards generating revenue and maintaining full employment, rather than directly advancing its exempt purposes. The court also rejected Shiloh's argument that its work philosophy, which integrated religious elements into work activities, was sufficient to establish a substantial relationship to its exempt purposes. Furthermore, the court applied a broad definition of compensation, concluding that the benefits provided to Shiloh's members in exchange for their work constituted compensation, thus disqualifying the activities from the exception under section 513(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. The court's decision was influenced by the Supreme Court's emphasis in *United States v. American College of Physicians* on the conduct of the business, rather than the results or intentions behind it.

### **Practical Implications**

This decision has significant implications for tax-exempt organizations engaging in business activities. It clarifies that for income to be exempt from unrelated business income tax, the activities must be conducted in a manner that directly and substantially contributes to the organization's exempt purposes. Organizations must carefully evaluate whether their business activities are truly integral to their exempt purposes or merely incidental to generating revenue. The broad definition of compensation used by the court also means that even non-cash benefits provided to workers can be considered compensation, impacting how organizations structure their operations and benefits for members. This ruling has been cited in subsequent cases to assess the taxability of income from business activities of tax-exempt organizations, emphasizing the importance of the conduct of the business in relation to exempt purposes.