Minahan v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 516 (1987)

A petitioner who is also an attorney and holds an equity interest in the law firm cannot recover attorney's fees paid to that firm under section 7430 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Summary

In Minahan v. Commissioner, the U. S. Tax Court addressed whether Roger C. Minahan, a petitioner who was also a senior stockholder and president of the law firm representing the petitioners, could recover his share of the legal fees under section 7430. The court ruled that attorney Minahan could not recover his fees because they were payments to the firm in which he held an equity interest, and thus not 'fees paid or incurred' as required by the statute. The court's decision hinged on the interpretation of what constitutes 'reasonable litigation costs' and the requirement that such fees must be actually incurred by the taxpayer. This case established a precedent that attorneys with an equity interest in their law firm cannot recover fees for their own services or payments to their firm, even if they paid those fees directly.

Facts

The petitioners, including Victor I. Minahan, Marilee Minahan, and others, filed motions for an award of litigation costs under section 7430 after settling their tax disputes with the Commissioner. Roger C. Minahan, one of the petitioners, was also an attorney and a senior stockholder and president of the law firm, Minahan & Peterson, S. C. , which represented all petitioners. The firm billed the petitioners for 386 hours of legal work, of which attorney Minahan contributed 102 3/4 hours, billed at $150 per hour. Attorney Minahan paid his share of the fees, which was 11. 8% of the total, but sought to recover these fees as part of the litigation costs.

Procedural History

The case originated in the U. S. Tax Court, where the petitioners moved for an award of litigation costs following a stipulated decision with the Commissioner that no tax deficiencies were due. The court had previously held in Minahan v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 492 (1987), that the petitioners were generally entitled to litigation costs under section 7430. The issue regarding attorney Minahan's eligibility for recovering his share of the fees was addressed in the present decision.

Issue(s)

1. Whether a petitioner who is also an attorney and holds an equity interest in the law firm can recover attorney's fees paid to that firm under section 7430.

Holding

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

1. No, because the payment to the law firm was essentially a payment to attorney Minahan himself, and thus not a ‘fee paid or incurred’ within the meaning of section 7430.

Court’s Reasoning

The court reasoned that attorney Minahan’s payment to his law firm was not a ‘fee paid or incurred’ as required by section 7430 because he held an equity interest in the firm. The court relied on its previous decision in Frisch v. Commissioner, 87 T. C. 838 (1986), where it held that a pro se attorney could not recover the value of his own services. The court emphasized that the focus must be on whether the fees were actually incurred by the taxpayer, and in this case, the payment to the firm was a return of money to attorney Minahan himself. The court also considered the legislative history of section 7430, which supports the requirement of actual payment for services rendered by an attorney. The dissent argued that the majority’s decision created a new condition for fee recovery not supported by the statute or its legislative history.

Practical Implications

This decision has significant implications for attorneys who are also petitioners in tax disputes. It establishes that such attorneys cannot recover fees for their own services or payments to their law firm if they hold an equity interest in it. This ruling affects how attorneys structure their representation and billing in tax cases, particularly when they have a financial interest in the firm. It also impacts how courts and practitioners interpret and apply section 7430 in future cases involving petitioner-attorneys. The case highlights the importance of clear separation between the roles of attorney and client in tax litigation to avoid conflicts of interest and ensure eligibility for litigation cost recovery. Subsequent cases have cited Minahan to support the principle that fees must be genuinely incurred by the taxpayer to be recoverable under section 7430.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.