Bosurgi v. Commissioner, 88 T. C. 1411 (1987)

The U. S. Tax Court may enter a default judgment against a taxpayer who fails to respond or appear, based on the well-pleaded facts in the Commissioner's pleadings.

Summary

In Bosurgi v. Commissioner, the Tax Court granted a default judgment against the sons of Adriana Bosurgi, who failed to respond or appear in court regarding estate tax deficiencies. The Commissioner claimed that the sons were liable as transferees of the estate's assets. The court's decision was based on Rule 123(a) of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure, allowing a default judgment when a party fails to proceed as required. The court found that the well-pleaded facts in the Commissioner's answer established the sons' liability under New York law, justifying the default judgment.

Facts

Adriana Bosurgi, an Italian citizen and nonresident alien, died in 1963. Her sons, Leone and Emilio Bosurgi, also Italian citizens and nonresident aliens, were alleged transferees of her estate's assets. After her death, securities from her custodian account at Chemical Bank were sold, and the proceeds were transferred to joint accounts held by her sons. The estate did not file a tax return, leading to a deficiency assessment against the sons as transferees. Despite multiple notices, the sons did not respond or appear in court for over a decade.

Procedural History

The Commissioner filed a motion for default judgment under Rule 123(a) of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure. The case had a long history, including related litigation in the U. S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, where default judgments were entered against the sons for failure to appear. In the Tax Court, the sons' counsel withdrew in 1976 due to lack of communication, and the sons failed to appear at subsequent court dates, leading to the Commissioner's motion for default.

Issue(s)

1. Whether the Tax Court may enter a default judgment against the sons of Adriana Bosurgi for their failure to respond or appear in court, based on the Commissioner's well-pleaded facts.

Holding

1. Yes, because Rule 123(a) of the Tax Court Rules of Practice and Procedure allows for a default judgment when a party fails to proceed as required, and the Commissioner's well-pleaded facts established the sons' liability as transferees

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

under New York law.

Court’s Reasoning

The court applied Rule 123(a), which is derived from Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55, allowing for default judgments when a party fails to plead or defend as required. The court emphasized that the Commissioner’s burden of proof was met by the well-pleaded facts in the answer, which were admitted by the default. The court noted the long history of non-response from the sons, justifying the use of a default judgment to conserve judicial resources. The court also considered the substantive law, finding that under New York law, the sons were liable as transferees of the estate’s assets. The court distinguished this case from those involving fraud, where the court has been more reluctant to enter defaults, but found no such issue here. The court quoted from Gordon v. Commissioner, 73 T. C. 736 (1980), to support its discretion in entering a default judgment based on nonappearance.

Practical Implications

This decision clarifies that the Tax Court may use default judgments in cases where taxpayers fail to respond or appear, streamlining the judicial process in such instances. Practitioners should advise clients of the importance of responding to court notices and the potential consequences of non-response. The case also highlights the application of state law in determining transferee liability under federal tax law, requiring careful analysis of both federal and state statutes. Future cases involving non-responding taxpayers may cite Bosurgi to justify default judgments, potentially impacting how the Tax Court manages its docket and resources. The decision may also encourage the IRS to more aggressively pursue default judgments in appropriate cases, affecting taxpayers’ strategies in estate tax disputes.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.