### Maxwell v. Commissioner, 87 T. C. 783 (1986)

A partnership is formed for federal tax purposes when the parties join together capital or services with the intent of conducting a business, as evidenced by the vesting of capital interests.

### **Summary**

In Maxwell v. Commissioner, the Tax Court determined that the Project Omega Limited Partnership was formed after September 3, 1982, based on the date the partners' capital interests vested. This decision was crucial because it determined the applicability of the partnership audit and litigation provisions under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982. The court rejected the petitioners' argument that pre-operating activities and an amended tax return indicated an earlier formation date. The ruling emphasizes that for tax purposes, a partnership is deemed formed when the capital contributions are no longer refundable and the partners' interests vest, aligning with the legal documents and the parties' intent.

#### **Facts**

The Project Omega Limited Partnership's offering memorandum and agreement specified that the partnership would form upon the offering's closure. The offering was extended multiple times, finally closing on December 31, 1982. Subscribers' funds were held in escrow until this date, when they were transferred to the partnership's operating account. The partnership filed its initial tax return indicating one month of operation in 1982, but later amended it to claim a full year of operation starting January 1, 1982. The Commissioner challenged the partnership's tax adjustments for 1982, prompting a dispute over the partnership's formation date.

## **Procedural History**

The Commissioner issued a notice of deficiency to the petitioners in 1985, disallowing their share of Project Omega's claimed loss for 1982. The petitioners filed a petition with the Tax Court, contesting the Commissioner's determination. The Commissioner moved to sever the adjustments related to Project Omega, arguing that the partnership audit and litigation provisions applied because the partnership was formed after September 3, 1982. The Tax Court, after reviewing the stipulated facts, ruled on this motion.

### Issue(s)

- 1. Whether the Project Omega Limited Partnership was formed prior to September
- 4, 1982, for federal tax purposes.

### Holding

1. No, because the partnership was formed after September 3, 1982, when the partners' capital interests vested upon the closure of the offering.

# **Court's Reasoning**

The court determined that a partnership is formed when the parties join together capital or services with the intent to conduct a business, as per Commissioner v. Tower and Hensel Phelps Construction Co. v. Commissioner. The court emphasized that the partnership's formation date is when the partners' capital interests vest, which in this case was December 31, 1982, as per the partnership agreement and the transfer of funds from escrow to the operating account. The court rejected the petitioners' arguments that pre-operating activities or an amended return indicated an earlier formation date, stating that these activities did not evidence the actual formation of the partnership. The court cited Voyles v. Murray to support that preliminary activities do not constitute partnership formation. The decision was also influenced by the clear intent of the parties, as documented in the partnership agreement and offering memorandum, to form the partnership only upon the offering's closure.

# **Practical Implications**

This ruling clarifies that for tax purposes, a partnership is formed when capital interests vest, not when pre-operating activities occur. Legal practitioners must ensure that partnership agreements clearly state the conditions for formation, especially in relation to capital contributions. Businesses planning to form partnerships should be aware that tax obligations and audit provisions hinge on the actual formation date, not on preliminary activities or intentions. This decision has been applied in subsequent cases like Farris v. Commissioner, emphasizing its significance in determining partnership formation dates for tax purposes. The ruling underscores the importance of aligning partnership agreements with tax law to avoid disputes over the applicability of audit and litigation provisions.