Honeywell Inc. v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, T.C. Memo. 1987-85

Sales of leased computers, even if considered 'dual-purpose property' held for both lease and sale, qualify as 'ordinary retirements' under the Class Life Asset Depreciation Range (CLADR) system regulations, deferring gain recognition until the depreciation reserve exceeds the asset's basis.

Summary

Honeywell leased computers depreciated under CLADR and then sold them. Honeywell treated these sales as 'ordinary retirements' under CLADR, adding sale proceeds to the depreciation reserve and deferring gain recognition. The IRS argued these sales were not 'retirements' because the computers were 'dual-purpose property' held primarily for sale, requiring immediate gain recognition. The Tax Court held for Honeywell, stating the CLADR regulations for ordinary retirements are comprehensive and apply to sales of leased property, regardless of 'dual-purpose' status. The court emphasized that regulatory language prevails over IRS interpretations not explicitly within the regulation.

Facts

1. Honeywell manufactured and leased computers, depreciating them under the CLADR system.
2. Lease contracts often included purchase options, and many leased computers were eventually sold to lessees.
3. Honeywell treated sales proceeds as additions to the depreciation reserve of the vintage account under CLADR 'ordinary retirement' rules, deferring gain recognition.
4. The IRS argued that sales of these 'dual-purpose' computers (held for lease and sale) were not 'retirements' under CLADR, requiring immediate recognition of gain.
5. The IRS adjusted Honeywell's income by increasing sales revenue, cost of goods sold, and reducing depreciation expense and reserve.

Procedural History

1. The IRS determined deficiencies in Honeywell's federal income taxes for 1976 and 1977.
2. Honeywell disputed these deficiencies and claimed overpayment.
3. The case proceeded to the Tax Court on cross-motions for partial summary judgment regarding the treatment of sales of leased computers under CLADR.

Issue(s)

1. Whether sales of leased equipment depreciated under the Class Life Asset Depreciation Range (CLADR) system constitute ordinary retirements under section 1.167(a)-11(d)(3), Income Tax Regs.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

Holding

1. Yes. Sales of leased computers depreciated under CLADR constitute ordinary retirements because the CLADR regulations for ordinary retirements are comprehensive and apply to any retirement from a vintage account, including sales, regardless of whether the property is considered 'dual-purpose'.

Court's Reasoning

1. The court emphasized the plain language of section 1.167(a)-11(d)(3) of the Income Tax Regulations, which defines 'ordinary retirement' broadly as any retirement of section 1245 property from a vintage account that is not an 'extraordinary retirement.'
2. The regulations define 'retirement' as the permanent withdrawal of an asset from use in business, which can occur through sale or exchange. The court found that Honeywell's sales of leased computers clearly fit this definition of retirement.
3. The IRS argument that 'dual-purpose property' (property held for both lease and sale) falls outside the scope of 'ordinary retirement' was rejected. The court found no such distinction in the regulations themselves.
4. The court distinguished cases cited by the IRS regarding 'dual-purpose property,' noting those cases primarily concerned the character of gain (ordinary income vs. capital gain) and not the timing of gain recognition under CLADR retirement rules.
5. The court stated that while the IRS could amend the regulations to exclude 'dual-purpose property,' it cannot achieve this through revenue rulings or judicial interpretation that contradicts the existing regulatory language. The court upheld the taxpayer's right to rely on the clear and unambiguous language of the regulations.
6. The court quoted the regulation: "The term 'ordinary retirement' means any retirement of section 1245 property from a vintage account which is not treated as an 'extraordinary retirement' under this subparagraph."

Practical Implications

1. This case clarifies that the CLADR system's 'ordinary retirement' rules apply broadly to sales of depreciated assets, even if those assets are part of a 'dual-purpose' inventory held for lease and sale.
2. Taxpayers using CLADR can rely on the 'ordinary retirement' provisions to defer gain recognition on sales of leased assets by adding proceeds to the depreciation reserve, as long as the regulations' literal requirements are met.
3. The IRS must amend regulations formally if it wishes to create exceptions for 'dual-purpose property' or otherwise alter the treatment of sales of leased assets under CLADR. Revenue rulings alone are insufficient to override clear regulatory language.
4. This case highlights the importance of adhering to the literal text of tax regulations and limits the IRS's ability to impose interpretations not explicitly supported by the regulatory language.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

5. Later cases would need to distinguish situations where asset sales are not considered ‘retirements’ under CLADR, focusing on whether the assets were truly withdrawn from business use or if the sale was an integral part of the ordinary leasing business cycle.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.