Kotmair v. Commissioner, 86 T. C. 1253 (1986)

Collateral estoppel applies to tax additions when a prior conviction establishes willful failure to file tax returns.

Summary

John B. Kotmair, a tax protester, was convicted of willfully failing to file tax returns for 1975 and 1976. The IRS sought to impose tax deficiencies and additions for fraud or negligence. The Tax Court held that Kotmair's income for these years was to be recomputed using the cash receipts method, not the completed contract method he sought. The court rejected fraud additions under IRC sec. 6653(b) due to lack of evidence beyond the failure to file. However, it applied collateral estoppel based on Kotmair's conviction to uphold additions for failure to file under IRC sec. 6651(a)(1) and negligence under IRC sec. 6653(a).

Facts

John B. Kotmair operated a homebuilding business without maintaining proper books. He filed incomplete, tax protester-style returns for 1975 and 1976, refusing to provide necessary income information. In 1981, Kotmair was convicted of willfully failing to file returns for these years. The IRS sought deficiencies and additions for fraud or negligence. Kotmair argued for using the completed contract method to compute his income, which would show a loss.

Procedural History

The IRS issued a statutory notice of deficiency for 1974-1976, later conceding 1974. Kotmair petitioned the Tax Court, which rejected his completed contract method argument. The court found no fraud under IRC sec. 6653(b) but applied collateral estoppel from Kotmair's criminal conviction to uphold additions under IRC sec. 6651(a)(1) and IRC sec. 6653(a).

Issue(s)

1. Whether Kotmair had unreported income for 1975 and 1976, and the amount thereof.
2. Whether Kotmair's income should be computed using the completed contract method or the cash receipts method.
3. Whether Kotmair failed to file income tax returns for 1975 and 1976.
4. Whether part of any underpayment was due to fraud under IRC sec. 6653(b).
5. If fraud additions under IRC sec. 6653(b) are not proper, whether Kotmair is liable for additions under IRC sec. 6651(a)(1) and IRC sec. 6653(a).

Holding

1. Yes, because Kotmair had unreported income as stipulated by the parties and

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.

determined by the court.
2. No, because Kotmair did not keep proper books or elect the completed contract method on his returns.
3. Yes, because Kotmair's conviction established his willful failure to file.
4. No, because there was insufficient evidence of fraud beyond the failure to file.
5. Yes, because collateral estoppel from Kotmair's conviction applied to the willfulness required for these additions.

Court's Reasoning

The court applied IRC sec. 446(b) to use the cash receipts method since Kotmair kept no regular books. Kotmair's conviction for willful failure to file under IRC sec. 7203 established his intentional disregard of filing requirements, triggering collateral estoppel for additions under IRC sec. 6651(a)(1) and IRC sec. 6653(a). The court rejected fraud additions under IRC sec. 6653(b), finding that mere failure to file, without more, was insufficient to establish fraud. The majority opinion emphasized the need for additional evidence of fraudulent intent, while the concurrence warned against overgeneralizing the fraud standard. The dissent argued that filing a Porth-type return constituted fraud.

Practical Implications

This case clarifies that a criminal conviction for willful failure to file can be used to impose civil tax additions through collateral estoppel, even when fraud additions are not upheld. Practitioners should be aware that incomplete, protester-style returns may lead to criminal charges and civil penalties. The decision reinforces the IRS's position that the cash receipts method applies when taxpayers fail to maintain proper books. It also underscores the high evidentiary burden for fraud additions, requiring more than just failure to file. Subsequent cases have cited Kotmair when applying collateral estoppel to tax penalties based on criminal convictions.

© 2025 SCOTUSreports.com. All rights reserved.